1875.
(L.R.)

File No. 29/1875
Serial No. 1-59.

Sub:- Regarding the Compensation Payable by the Jagirdhar of Sivanasamudra toward the cost of Ramaswamy Channel..

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR File No. 29 18

191

Serial Nos. 1-59

(Land Revenue)

Later references.

LR 40/88.
LR 236-97 Sl 1-21.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 59

Major **Part B for**

SUBJECT.

Re the Contribution payable by the Jagirdar of Swasamudram towards the Cost of the Extension of Kannasami Channel.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

(12)

(11)

Memorandum

1 — On their petition to the Dewan dated the 7th April 1886, the agents to the Jagirdar of Sivanasamudra, while soliciting the restoration of that portion of the Ramasamy channel which formerly irrigated the Jagir lands, as well as those belonging to Government, made the following statement in the concluding Paragraph of their petition -

" If it is your Excellency's pleasure that
" the Jagir should contribute a portion
" of the Estimate sum for the restoration
" of the Purigal Nalla, we beg to state
" that we as agents of the minor Jagirdar
" are willing to pay one half of the Estimate
" sum that may be framed for the repair -
" Of course the usual water cess of 8 annas
" will also be paid after the completion
" of the work of Irrigation is made to
" supply the land now under Bingar " -

2 — The above statement under quotation has been ordered to be compared with the previous orders on the subject —

3 — The papers in this Office and those obtained from D. P. W. which form the correspondence regarding the channel in question

accompany —

3 — The Channel is led from Dodda Rameawmy Anicut across the Cauvery at Hosahalli in the Ashtagram Taluk, and it empties itself to the River at Somanahalli in the Srirangapatna Nagapur Taluk — The total length of the Channel is 40 miles, but it is in action only as far as 30th mile — So lately as 35 years ago, the channel was irrigating up to the 39th mile — Since that time, the portion of the channel between the 30th and 39th miles has fallen into disrepair and ceased to irrigate the lands — All the lands below the channel have been surveyed by D. P. W and the result ascertained was thus —

Vide Return of Channel Statistics for 1851

⊛ Vide no 430 of 9-10-1878 to Inam

× Vide Major Thuillier's memo Para 12 & 13 — of 19-8-1874

Vide no 430 to Inam of 9-10-1878 and Myore Executive Engineer's no 2635 of 25-4-1879

	Irrigated acres	Unirrigated Inam	Total acres
I — Up to the 30th Mile —	6021	182	6,203
II — Beyond the 30th mile up to 40th —	"	2573	2573
Total	6021	2755	8,776

The revenue from the irrigated 6021 acres of land which includes Inam lands is according to the accounts of 1878 is Rupees 16815 —

⊛ Vide no 430 to Inam of 9-10-78

4 — The present petition under notice has reference to the tract of land included in the 2573 acres forming Item no II in the above abstract — In 1874 both the Jagirdar and his Ryots urged the restoration of the

× Serial No. 13

lands under the Rules of
October 1888 which shall
apply in full to the Puvig
village.

II. Any arrears of Kandu
then due to the Jahagirdar
in the village which may
be recovered by Governmen

III. Any net revenue of the
village when under Govt
management after deducti
of Cost of Collection and reason
-able allowance fixed by the
Dy: Commr for the mainte-
-nance of the Sivanasamudra
temple & charities connected
therewith.

It was further directed that
in fixing the annual allow-
-ance to be made to the temple
& connected charities from the
revenue of the Puvigal village
the full net revenue of the
Kaglipur village be taken
into account, that the allow-
-ance as above be so fixed as to
leave at least 10 p.c of the ~~clear~~
debt

debt as an annual payment towards its reduction, and that in order to insure this result, the Jasdik Patties of the temple be revised. 6

2. The revenue of the Purigal village being in-sufficient to meet the expen-ses connected with the temple & its charities, the Agent of the Sivanasamudram Jahagirdar agreed to make over to the Govt management the Kaglipur village also and accordingly both the villages were taken under Govt management by the Subdy officer in February 1890, who reported as follows:— vide Serial no. 20 a enclosure to Sl: 11

"(1) The Jamabandi of the two villages for 1889-90 is Rs. 3200-8-6, & the annual expenditure is Rs. 3947-2-9. The Jahagirdar's agent has agreed to make good the difference till the revenue

portion of the Channel — The question of the Jagirdar's liability to contribute a portion of the cost of repairs was dis = = cussed — In his order dated 26th August 1874, Sir Richard Meade remarked " If the " Jagirdar pay a share of the cost of restoring " and improving the Channel proportionate " to the area of his lands irrigated by it, we " cannot I think ask more from him "

5 — The Jagirdar when asked by Col Vill the then Deputy Commissioner first consented to bear the cost of the proposed extension and afterwards retracted — The Jagirdar argued that there was no provision in the Sannad requiring him to carry out the repairs or bear the cost — Colonel Hay the then Commissioner of the Division found from his personal enquiry from the Ryots of the Jagir village that so long as Seshachala Modeliar the son of the original Jagirdar lived, water was freely supplied to them and that certain correspondence in 1837 — clearly showed that the original Jagirdar Mr Ramsawmy Modeliar admitted his liability to pay contribution for the Channel repairs according to the practice which obtained during the administration of the late Maha Rajah.

Vide no 661-273 dated 7-1-1875 from Commissioner Nagaram Division —

6 — On receipt of Colonel Hay's Report to the above effect Sir Richard Meade remarked

"We must await the Completion of the
"estimate, which should be accompanied"
"by a Statement Showing the proportion of"
"the land that will be irrigated by the"
"repair or extension of the Channel, that"
"appertains to the Inamdar and Government"
"respectively — If the extension only affects"
"the Jagir lands, we cannot well carry it"
"out without his Consent and payment of"
"the Cost". —

7 — On the 23d October 1875, the following letter no 6058/4 was addressed to the D. P. W Secretary

"The question as to the proportionate"
'Cost to be borne by the Jagirdar of Sivana"
Sumudra towards the extension and repair"
of the Ramasamy Channel being under Consideration
I am desired to request you will be so good
as to expedite the transmission of the estimate
for the repair of the Channel" —

8 — Nothing further transpired until June 1878, when drawing attention of D. P. W to the previous Correspondence, report was called for as to what steps could be taken early in view to the disposal of the matter — Vide letter no 2205-325

9 — In October 1878, an Estimate amounting to Rupees 1,37,976 was prepared in D P W and received Sanction of the Government of India to whom it had been submitted by the Chief Commissioner — On this Estimate, provision was made only

× Indias no 27 of 26-2-1879
+ no 334 of 20-3-1879

for making the channel of sufficient
capacity to carry a supply of water for the
proposed extension up to the 40th mile —

But no provision was made in it for
the cost of extending the channel from the 30th
to 40th mile - The reason for this omission as
explained in Colonel Johnson's Memorandum
dated 21st August 1878 was that the greater
part of the land under it was held by
Inamdars who declined to contribute towards
the restoration - Sir James Gordon while
approving of the estimate and its submission
to the Government of India has remarked
"I should be in favor decidedly of the future"
"extension and the matter as regards the"
"Inamdars can I doubt not be eventually"
"arranged; but the cost of the extension is"
"not here provided for, though the estimate"
"is swelled in order to make such extension"
"possible" -

Para 2 of the Executive Engineer's no 535-243 of 27-5-1879 to Chief Retaining Division

10 — The cost of the proposed extension from
the 30th to the 40th mile was roughly estimated
at Rupees 84,000 viz Rs 60,000 for the work and
Rupees 24,000 for tools and plant &c - No detailed
Estimate was made out —

11 — The Executive Engineer with the approval of
the Superintending Engineer then fixed a

The acreage market value of the wet land is Rs. 150 per acre & that of the dry land is Rs. 75 per acre. One-fifth of Rs. 75, ie Rs. 15, may be fixed per acre as ryots' share of the cost of the work. This amount may be recovered in Six years by means of a temporary addition to the wet assessment."

10

Why leave it on wet lands alone

The Dy: Commr. said that the reduction of the temple Establishment should be insisted on, otherwise the expenditure could not be made equal to the income. As regards the Inam lands enjoyed without title, the Dy: Commr was of opinion that the Sub Division offr. should enquire into each case & pass orders on its merits, resuming or confirming the Inam as the

vide Sl: No. 20.

sum of Rupees 60,466 as Contribution leviable from the Jagirdar of Sivasamudra ~~in~~ according with the following calculations

1 - no 375 of 15-4-79 to Executive Engineer

2 - no 2630/25-4-79 from do —

3 - no 888 of 2-5-79 to do —

4 - no 536 of 25-5-79 from do and its enclosure no 535 of 27-5-79 to Court Asst Engr

I — Out of 2573 acres of unirrigated land (Item no II in the abstract appended to Para 3), 1575 acres belong to the Jagirdar —

II — In the sanctioned estimate amounting to Rupees 1,37,976 for the general improvement of the entire channel, one single item amounting to Rs 14,800 was provided for the sole purpose of bringing down water sufficient to irrigate the said area of 2573 acres (Item no II) - The proportionate amount of cost by the Jagirdar for his 1575 acres was Rupees 9057,

III — The cost of extending the channel being roughly estimated at Rs 84000 as adverted to in Para 10, the Jagirdari share of the cost in proportion to the area of his lands viz 1575 acres, amounted to Rupees 51,409 -

12 — The late Jagirdar was then requested by the Deputy Commissioner to pay up the amount — He declined to comply with the demand on the grounds that the present unserviceable state of the channel is due to the fact of Government having ceased

1 - no 292 of 17-7-79 from Dy Comr to Comr

2 - no 290-190/ 1-9-1879 from Court Mun to D. C. W Secy

to carry out annual repairs since 1857, and that the late Maha Rajah's sanad authorizes him to make improvements and enjoy the benefits thereof — Colonel Hay the Commissioner in reporting the matter to D. P. W Secretary, recommended that the work of extension might be carried out at Government expense, and the full water rate levied by Government from the Ryots who are the occupants of the Jagir lands —

13 — On the 10th September 1879, Colonel Johnson the late D. P. W Secretary forwarded the papers to the Revenue Department with a view to a settlement of this long pending question —

14 — No further orders on the subject appear to have been passed as yet —

15 — The petitioners who are the agents of the present minor Jagirdar express their readiness to pay half of the estimated cost of the work (Vide Para 1). The total estimated cost being Rupees 98,800 (Vide Para 10 & clause II of Para 11), the moiety which the petitioners agree to pay comes to Rs 49,400, Whereas the amount of contribution fixed by

† 17,500 Rs.

jahagirdar on account of the channel extension. & that they say they would pay their quota in 5 years commencing from the time when water is supplied to their lands & that if Govt do not agree to this proposal, they will remain without asking for water & keep their lands dry as at present; & that according to this proposal. the rate of contribution, calculated on the amount payable by the jahagirdar comes to about Rs. 11 per acre. The ryots seem to represent that the jahagirdar is mainly responsible for having allowed the channel to fall into a State of disrepair for a Series of years, thus retarding the progress of wet cultivation & crippling

the means & resources of the people & that therefore he should participate in the cost of the channel work equally with the ryots owing to his long & continued neglect of action. The Dy: Commr: thinks that the representations of the ryots are true to a great extent & deserve consideration.

Note: On a petition* of 19th July 1893 presented to this Office by the inhabitants of the Purigal village, they say that the Mutchalika referred to in the above para was not executed with the unanimous consent of the villagers nor with the full consent of the Signatories & that even those that signed it did so under the belief that the land assessment will be

* Serial No. 30

by D P W according to Rule of proportion is Rupees 60,466; the difference between the two sums being Rupees 11,066 -

16- As regards the petitioner's offer to pay the usual water cess of 8 annas a Candy, it may perhaps be a point for consideration whether the Jagirdar is not answerable for payment of the rate of 4 Rupees per acre authorised in the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings no 7005-75 dated 23d November 1878 (Vide page 164 new Revenue Manual) -

Para 4 of the Dewan's subsequent Circular no 1100-9-39 of the 12th May 1885, (Vide page 165 Revenue Manual) makes a distinction between the Inam lands newly converted from dry into wet, and those which were wet when the Inam was granted, and exempts the latter from the incidence of the enhanced rate of 4 Rupees - The Jagir lands in question fall under the latter category -

10-6-1886 3 - K.R.

(16)

Memorandum

Extension of Ramaswami Channel.

The extent of Land commanded by the proposed extension is:—

1506 acres — Jaghire and not Government as stated by Mr. Karve.

669 — Government.

Total 2275

2 The extension is estimated to cost:—

Rs 29036

To this should be added the probable expenditure already incurred for widening the existing channel for the purpose of the extension 14800

Total Rs 43836.

Add to this the cost of Establishment at 18 per cent at the rate charged to Local Funds &c 9622.

Total Costs 53458

3 The Jaghire is liable to pay $\frac{1506}{2275}$ of this amount, Rs 35388, as its share of the total cost of the extension.

4 The Jaghiredar is a minor and the Purasai village (in which the whole of the lands under the extension are situated) and Kaspliyur village were granted to his ancestor as an Endowment to the Sivanasamudram temple and connected charities by Sanad dated 24th December 1829.

17

these villages is reported by the Amildar of Malvally to be 490 acres & odd according to the recorded Beejavary measurement, but the area of 669 acres mentioned in the Dewan's memorandum of 6th June 1889 is, may be more reliable as the same appears to have been ascertained by the Ex: Engineers on actual measurement, and that the ryots of these villages say that they cannot afford to pay more than 15 Rs. an acre for dry and Bengal Bunjar lands & Rs. 11-4 per acre for wet lands & that they will pay the amount of contribution in 3 yearly instalments commencing from the period when water is first supplied to their lands for cultivation, & that if the area is 669 acres, the amount of contribution

at the rates above offered will come to/Rs 9928. the Dy: Commr: Says that these rates, as compared with those Sanctioned for lands under the Ramfoor channel will be abont ½ & ¾ths respectively for dry & Bengal bangar lands & 3/4ths for wet lands, and that considering the remote Situation of the villages & the very few advantages they have to convey their produce to markets, the rates offered may be accepted —

Note

The rates of contribut on lands under the Ra channel are :-

30 Rs. per acre of dry
20 Rs per acre of beng
15 Rs per acre of wet
vide Extract of Go
№ 618 of 18th Sept
put up —

6. With reference to Govt Proceedings №. 1382-90 of 1st Octr 1888 on the Subject of levying contributions for works costing over Rs. 2500/ the Dy Commr was requested to report the Steps taken by him for the collection of contribution towards

Sl. No. 13

Sl. No. 27

5 The channel in question has been allowed to go out of repair and has not been in action for many years past. Numerous and very frequent complaints have been received from the Ryots but the efforts hitherto made to cause its restoration have been unsuccessful. In the interests of the Ryots and of the temple and connected charities, as well as in those of the minor Jaghiredar, the restoration of this important channel must not be further delayed. The minor Jaghiredars mother and guardian is of the same opinion and has aked that the Purigal village may be retained in Government management and that the Channel Extension may be carried out by the Government, the Jaghiredar's contribution being recovered from the proceeds of the village after making due allowance for the maintenance of the Sevanasamudram temple and connected charities.

6. The Government are pleased to accept the offers made by the minor Jaghiredar's mother and the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore will be requested to assume at once the management of the Purigal Village as a temporary measure, till complete liquidation of the contribution payable by the Jaghiredar

as stated in para 1 above. The amount of this contribution is fixed at Rs 35000/- and shall not be subject to increase if the estimate now framed is exceeded this amount or such portion of it as may for the time being remain unpaid shall bear interest at 5 per cent per annum. Interest shall be charged from 1st October 1889 on the full amount of Rs 35000/-

7 Towards the debt due to Government as above shall be credited :-

Firstly any contributions received from Ryots and minor Inamdars holding Jaghire lands under the Rules of 1st October 1888, which shall apply in full to the Puriyal Village.

Secondly. Any arrears of Candayam now due to the Jaghiredar in the village, which may be hereafter recovered by the Government.

And thirdly. Any net Revenue of the village when under Government management after deduction of cost of collection and reasonable allowance fixed by the Deputy Commissioner for the maintenance of the Sevana-Samudram temple and charities.

8 When by means of payments as above, and by other payments, if any made by or for the Jaghiredar, the debt

21

Copy of the last page of the office note paper no. (33)

the extension of the channel.

(2) The disposal of Inam lands in the Punjal and Kaglipur villages, said to have been ~~enjoyed~~ Enjoyed by several persons without Sanads.

(3) Regarding the revision of the Jasdik Patty of the temple, which the Deputy Commr. says is not possible

Submitted for orders

Indy C.R
(Asst. Commr.)
10.7.94

Submitted to Chief Secretary Indy L.A
(Under Secy)
11/7/94

Submitted for Dewan's orders Indy I.A.R
(Chief Secretary)
3/9

[Chief Secretary's marginal note in the previous page continued]

"may be accepted"
Indy I.A.R

As regards point 2 Chief Secretary is of opinion that the Inam may be asked to settle Inams on the principle which other similar cases the Rampur Jobby have been ordered to be settled.

[The Dewan's order]

"~~Yes~~ No. This can't be done."

P.L.O

Continuation of Office note
Serial no (33) in the file
[R. J no. 174 of 1893-94]

9. On the 1st December 1894 a large number of ryots of Purigal in the malvalli Taluk appeared before the Dewan at Mysore and presented a petition* complaining that the Amildar of malvalli was demanding them for payment of contribution at the rate of Rs 15 per acre towards the cost of the restoration of the Ramaswami Channel, that for 40 years past they had paid Bengar ~~rates~~ assessment for their wet lands and raised only dry crops owing to want of irrigation caused by the neglected condition of the channel, that since the survey & settlement of the village they had paid wet assessment, that for about 40 years they had suffered great loss for want of irrigation for their wet lands and that if the jaghirdar had only made needful annual repairs to the

*Recd. no. 16
of 30-1
vide Paper (32)

X (34)

due to Government with interest thereon is completely liquidated, the village shall be restored to the possession of the Jaghiredar for the purpose of the original Grant.

9. In fixing the annual allowance to be made to the temple and connected charities from the Purigal Village, the Deputy Commissioner will take into account the full, net Revenue of the Kaggalipur Village. The allowance should if possible be so fixed as to leave at least about 10 per cent of the debt as an annual payment towards its reduction. In order to ensure this result the Deputy Commissioner may if necessary revise the Yastik Patties.

10 Seperate accounts shall be kept of the Revenues and disbursements on account of the Village, and the Jaghiredar shall be entitled to obtain copies of the same at his own expense.

11 A Revenue Survey and Settlement should be introduced into the village as early as possible.

12 Supposing that after completion of the channel the 1506 acres of Jaghire wet land are charged with only Rs 5/- per acre, the Annual Revenue would

would be fully Rs 7500/- and if even one half of this sum be allowed to the temple charities &c, the remainder Rs 3750/- will be available annually for reduction of the Government debt, not to mention the payments under clauses 1 and 2 of para 7 above.

13 To prevent the possibility of any future deterioration of the channel, a proportionate maintenance allowance should be levied from the Jaghiredar, and the work of maintenance should always be retained in the hands of the Government. The Secretary D.P.W. will be requested to fix this amount with reference to the entire area commanded by the Ramaswamy channel. Such maintenance allowance should be a charge on the Revenues of the village when in Government hands, and shall be payable by the Jaghiredar after the restoration of the village to him.

14 With the foregoing remarks the estimate for Rs 29036/- for the proposed extension is sanctioned.

15 A copy of this memo will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner

for

for information and guidance

Mysore
6-6-89.

(Signed) K. Seshadri Iyer
Dewan.

"Copy"

Chief Secretary.

33

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE:

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the contribution payable by the jahagirdar of Sivanasamudram towards the cost of the extension of the Ramaswamy channel & other matters connected with it.

× Sl: no 31
LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. × 489 dated 19th October 1893

from the Dy: Commr, Mysore Distt

⊗ Serial no 16

In the Dewan's Memorandum ⊗ dated 6th June 1889 communicated to the Dy: Commr, Mysore District, with Chief Secy's letter C. no. 215 & 6th June 1889, an estimate was sanctioned for Rs. 29,036 mainly for the extension of the Ramaswamy channel, in addition to the sums of Rs. 14,800 and 9622 which had already been incurred for widening the existing channel

⊗ Serial no. 17

and on account of the cost of establishment &c; and Rs. 35,000 was fixed to be the contribution payable by the jahagirdar of Sivanasamudram. as 1506 acres of land in the Purigal village belonging to the jahagir was to be irrigated under the extension. The Dy: Commr: was authorized to assume the management of the Purigal village, till complete liquidation of the aforesaid contribution amount together with interest at 5 p/c per annum from 1st October 1889, and to credit the following items of revenue towards the debt payable by the Jahagirdar as above:—

I. Any contributions received from ryots and minor Inamdars holding jahagir

1506 acres - jahagir
669 " - Govt
2175 Total

of the two villages is increased
so as to cover all expenses.
Out of the arrears of previous
years due to the Jahagirdar
Rs. 2089-0-7 has been col-
-lected by Govt. and
Rs. 875 has been deducted
from Rs. 2089-0-7 on accoun
of interest on Rs. 35,000. at 5 p
per annum from 1st October
1889 to 30th march 1890. and
out of the balance of Rs. 1214-0-
Rs. 1000 will be credited towar
the debt of Rs. 35,000, the remain
-der Rs. 214-0-7 being retaine
for current temple expenditure. Til
the revenue anticipated
from the extension of the charne
is realized in full, the curren
revenue including the out-
-standing arrears will be
barely sufficient to meet
the temple & other charges &
the interest, & therefore not
possible to pay the fixed
percentage to reduce the debt
I checked the Jasdik Patty of

the temple establishment. The agent of the Jahageedar states that he has already revised it & abolished such Officers as were found unnecessary. The Establishment as it now Stands is necessary for the proper performance of the duties connected with the temple. The allowance for the chattram is fixed at Rs. 10 per month, which is regularly Spent. Thus, no reduction is possible under these heads of expenditure.

2. Lands of the Poorigal village assessed at Rs. 94-4-6 are being enjoyed as Sarva-Inam by different persons from a long time. These Inams are said to have been granted by former Jahageedars. When & for what purpose they were granted, there is nothing on record

vide Statement, enclosure to Sl: No - 20/a

case may be, subject to the orders of Govt. As regards contribution leviable from ryots, the Dy: Commr: said that the Sub Dv. Offr. should be guided by the orders of Govt in the Hulballi channel contribution matter

3. With reference to the above, it was directed that any feasible reduction in the temple establishment should be carried out so as to avoid a dificit as far as possible; & that the Sub Dv. Officer should hold a regular enquiry into the nature of the Inams & Sub-mit a report as to how he proposes to deal with them. The Dy: Commrs proposal to take the orders issued in re-gard to the levy of contribution

ie refuse water to those who will not Contribute -

vide Serial no. 21

31

vide paper Serial no (17)
Rev file no 174 of 93-94

rates were levied from them for these lands until the Survey & settlement which took place in 1892-93. The Govt in their Proc* ~~dated~~ no 215 of 1889 directed the levy of Contribution and the recovery of arrears of assessment from the ryots; and it was on that assurance that the management of the villages was made over to Government. The ryots had also promised to contribute at the rate of Rs 15 per acre towards the cost of the restoration of the channel. Nevertheless the Government have since ordered in their Proceedings* dated 7/10 December 1894 that the ryots should not be made to bear any part of the cost of the restoration of the channel. The question should therefore be reconsidered now and the ryots ordered to contribute towards the cost of the restoration of the channel which has been necessitated by their own neglect to do the petty needful repairs in the past.

(34)

IV That the interest on the Government debt should

32

be covered to be calculated
once in six months as before
and not once a year as
the latter course would cause
much loss to the jaghirdar.
13. On the 20th October 1895
the Revenue Secretary sub
-mitted the file (R.7 no. 174
of 1893-94) to the 2d Councillor
with the following note:
"The mother & guardian
of the Jaghirdar solicits
a reconsideration of the
order passed by the Dewan
on the 7th Dec. 1894 (Serial
no. 34) the papers she relies
upon are Serial nos 23 (a) 3,
13, 16 & 17."
14. The 2d Councillor then
ordered as follows:—
"Please submit this
again with a copy of the
sannads of Kaspipur and
Purigali Inam villages, also
the Inam Settlement deeds
of those villages as well as of
Srirasamudram Jaghir generally.
Also the result of the Resd
Survey Settlement of the
villages in question and
how the revenue has been
utilized. How does the account
of the debt stand at present?
In fact I should like the

for the Hulhalli* channel as a guide in fixing the contribution to be levied from the ryots benefited by the extension of the Ramaswamy channel, was approved.

4. With regard to the Inams, the Dy: Commr× Submits a Statement⊗ shewing the nature & description of each Inam & how it is proposed to be dealt with. This Statement gives the particulars of the Inam lands in the two villages viz. Purigal & Kaglipur, & the opinion of the Sub: Dn Offr as to how they should be treated. As regards the rate of contribution payable by the ryots, the Dy: Commr. says that they are willing to contribute only half the amount payable by the

* Govt order No 3144/232 of 15th July 1890 fixing the rates of contribution leviable on lands below Hulhalli Channel, ~~is said to have~~ was submitted to Chief Secy on 13-6-94 with file No 156 of 1893-94

Not required for the records

× Dy: Namakal Rao. Sub Dn: who was officiating for the Dy: Commr

⊗ Enclosure to Serial no. 23

vide Serial no. 23.

Note: They have executed a Muchalika. Please see the rev: papers enclosed to Sl: no. 23

the same as before, ie Rs. 2 to 4 per acre, but the Survey Deptt: has enhanced the assessment to Rs. 5½ to 6 Rs per acre. They pray that they may be exempted from paying the contribution demanded of them to meet the cost of the channel extension for the following reasons:-

(1) That the channel is not a new one towards the cost of which they can reasonably contribute, & that the work is merely to restore an old one allowed to get into disrepair mainly thro' the fault of the Jahageerdar.

(2) That if the restoration of the old channel causes loss to the Jahageerdar they may be called upon to afford him some help, but on the contrary he is a gainer to a great degree by the enhanced rate of assessment levied by the Survey

5

1 A copy of the sanad of Kaglipura and Purigali referred to in the 2d Councillor's order dated 10th January 1895 quoted above is in the file of Kanarese correspondence (vide paper 3 in the file of Kan. Correspondence)

In this office no 5025/P1055 dated 29th November 1895 the Inam Supt was requested to send the Inam title deeds of the two villages above referred to and also of Sivanasamudra Jaghir generally.

The Inam Supt states that the title deeds are not forthcoming. He has however sent the Inam Settlement Registers of the villages noted in the margin.

ala	sheet no 184
lipura	198
kavadi	185
sandoddi	194
sahalli	196
chiyanhalli	192
nchipura	193
lligettanhalli	199

(39)

21. In this office no 5027/P1057 dated 29th November 1895 the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore was requested to report* as to the result of the Revenue Survey and Settlement of Kaglipur and Purigali and how the revenue had been utilized.

22. On the 17th January 1896 a reminder was also sent to the Deputy Commr of Mysore to expedite the submission of the report* called for in the above.

23. With regard to the last point referred to in the 2d Councillor's order of 10/11/95 quoted above viz "how the account of the Govt debt stands at present" the Comptroller was requested to report (vide no 5026/P1056 dated 29th November 1895)

(41)

* The figures furnished in report of 14-3-96 are:-

(1) Villages taken under Govt Mgmt (46)

(2) Survey & Settlement introduced

(3) Jamabandi including Mode & prior to Settlement Assessment

Purigal	4182-10-6	962
Kaglipur	479-1-6	65
	4661-12--	10,27

(4) Revenue derived during under Govt management balance of previous

(5) This was spent as follows Remuneration to village & Servants Temple & Chatram charges Recoupment of Govt loan Interest on Do Local Fds due to Govt

* The report has been received 29/1/96 vide Govt letter 4/2 dated 29/1/96

Department.

(3) That further they have to spend Rs. 100 extra per acre for bringing the lands to a condition suitable for wet cultivation.

The Dy: Commr. continues to say that no reduction in the temple establishment is feasible, that the Sivanasamudram village is a Sickly place & that if any reduction is made, the Servants of the temple will be discouraged & thereby the temple Services will be interrupted.

5. In continuation of the above, the Dy Commr. reports that the marginally noted Sirkar villages will also be benefitted by the extension of the channel, that the area likely to be irrigated in

vide Sl: No. 24

1. Chikkabbagal.
2. Marlahally.
3. Hoollamballi
4. Ballageray.
5. Somanahally.
6. Sargur.

the cost of extending Rama-
-Swamy channel which
was estimated at Rs. 29142
as per D. P. W Budget. The
Dy: Commr. says in reply
that the levy of the contri-
bution is held in abeyance
pending orders as to the
rate at which the con-
-tribution has to be levied

Rs 29,036 as per Dewan's memo-
-randum (Sl: No 16)

7. In continuation of the
above letter, the Dy Commr.
says that the sum of Rs.
35,000 payable by the
Jahagirdar of Sivana-
Samudram as per Dewan's
memorandum of 6th June 189
should not be taken as
contribution, but as a
recovery from the jaha-
-girdar. of the cost of the
portion of the channel
extended into the jahagir
village.

& Sl: No. 31

× Sl: No. 16

Note. It will be observed

The matters which were for consideration were :—

Subjects. 39

Refers & note of action taken

(1). The removal of the present Amildar & the appointment of a Deputy Amildar.

Refer. G. J. 94 of 1893-94 - papers (3); (13) sep. letter - para 2; (21), (37), (38) & (40) which are the Jahagirdar's guardian's petns; Rev. Councillor's minutes on (18); (24) Dy Comr's letter paras 2 & 3; (29) a petn from one Ranganna in favor of present arrangement.

The 1st Councillor has, in para 4 of his minute dd 20.2.96, stated that it is desirable to have an officer on a small pay — one of the rank of a Shenistedar or Dy Amildar on Rs. 50 or 70'

The 2nd Councillor states with reference to this — 'These matters can only be decided after discussion in Council if the Dewan thinks that it is a matter to be referred to the Council.

The 1st Comr states :— The sooner the present expensive establt is reduced the better. The Jahagirdar's mother & guardian may be asked to submit the name of the person whom she wishes to be appointed as Shr or Dy Amil.

The relation of the Amildar or Dy Amildar to the Jahagirdar's guardian.

Refers - Rev. Councillor's minutes (18) and (33A); Guardian's Petitions (22) & (25); Dy Comrs letter (24) para 2, (32) para 11; Letter to Residency (34) para 2.

It was observed that this would be decided on the disposal of the 1st question.

Who should have the local management of the temples, the Amildar or the Jahagirdar's agents.

Refers (37)

The agents were told that until the settlement of the above two questions, the present Amildar should continue to have the management & that they should not interfere with it

The Supervision of the Muzarai Dept.t over the temples & charities.

Refers (34) of Rev. File 174 of 18, para 6; (35) para 2.

The Muzarai Supt. observed tha would exercise supervision thr such local officer as may be ap

Refers (34) of R.F. 174, par (32) of G.F. 94. par

The right of the Jahagirdar to appropriate the surplus Revenues of Purigal & Kaglipur, after defraying the expenses of the temples.

The Councillors had the Sanna the Inam Regr read, and held Inam title deeds clearly show confirmed as Devadaya an The whole income of the v to be appropriated for the of the temples and perform other charities as specifie original Sannad"

Refer (14) of R.F. 174

(6) Whether the Jahagirdar should not be permitted to recoup himself from such surplus, the sums paid by him in previous years out of other sources of income for the expenses of the temple when the receipts from Purigal & Kaglipur were, before the extension & improvement of the Channel, insufficient to meet them.

the amount so spent prior to 1889 is stated Rs. 52,687-5-10; G.C

The Councillors desire -rai Superintendent the accounts and as to what was th two villages and if any was inc the said incom wishes this to be during the life Jahagirdar's la his death", bu says he would t to the period of m Councillor Bose

from the Comptroller's letter No. 744 of 13 May 1891 to the address of the D.P.W Secy: that the Sum of Rs. 35,000 payable by the Jahagirdar has been credited in the Compts. Office exchange accounts for March 1891 as a contribution to the D.P.W Deptt towards the cost of the channel extension. So that the amount due by the Jahagirdar may be shewn in the books of the Civil Deptt as a debt to be recovered.

× Sl: no. 31/b

Note (Sl: no. 31/a) referring to letter no. 744
The Ex: Engr: says
that Rs. 35,000 has already been recovered, & that the question of levying contribution from Govt Ryots holding 669 acres under the extension is pending orders & that they are liable to pay it as per Govt Progs: no. 1382-90. (Sl: no. 13).

8. The points requiring orders are: —

(1) The rate at which contribution should be levied from the ryots holding lands in the Purigal village belonging to the Jahagir, & also from the Govt ryots who are benefited by

Vide para 4 above.

× 1/2 day : Half what Govt Ryots pay Rs. 2½ etc

& 1/2 day Half the Same as Govt Ryots

Vide para 5 Supra. Ryots

the Ryots after [illegible] for any lands a little more [illegible]

channel there would have been no occasion for the repairs at ~~such~~ a large cost.

10. The petitioners prayed that they may not for the above stated reasons be required to pay any contribution towards the cost of restoration of the channel.

11. The Dewan having heard the petitioners and having perused the Correspondence connected with this subject and other matters connected with the Govt management of Punjai and Kaghipur Villages belonging to the pagoda of Sivanasamundram passed the orders conveyed in Govt Procs ~~X~~ no 9477-9 R.T 174-93 dated 1/10 Dec 1894.

(34)

In the Govt Procs now referred to orders were passed on the following matters:-

(1) Lands held as Inam by certain persons in Punjai & Kaghipur without Sanads

(2) Revision of the Jasdi Patti of the temples at Siva-samudram

(3) The amount of Contribution to be levied towards the cost of restoration of the Ramaswami channel.

The following is an extract from the Govt Prop above referred to :-

" Under these terms (of the Jaghir grant of 24th Oct 1829) the Jaghirdar is required to apply the whole of the proceeds of the Purigal and Kaghipur villages exclusively for temple purposes and the grant of Inams in contravention of the object of the grant is illegal and should be cancelled, full assessment being imposed on the lands and levied from the grantees. The Jaghirdar can of course be asked to compensate the grantees by direct payment from any source other than the income of the villages in question.

. . . . . .

The Inams granted by the Jaghirdar for purposes unconnected with the temple should alone be resumed and charged with full assessment as directed above.

. . . . .

. . . . .

The revenues of the two villages in question should

be applied as follows:-

1st towards the full payment of the expenses of the management of the villages and maintenance of Irrigation channels and other works.

2nd towards the temple expenditure according to the existing Jasdik

and 3rd towards the liquidation of the Government debt. Any portion of the annual temple expenditure not covered by the net revenue of Purigal and Kaglipur shall be annually recovered from the jaghirdar in accordance with his promise to that effect.

The interest on the Government debt should be annually calculated and when not realized from the net revenues of the villages should be added to the principal. . . . . .

. . . . . .

The jaghirdar will of course continue to be at full liberty to pay this debt (Govt.) and resume the management

of the villages, but whether these be under the management of the Government or of the Jaghirdar, their entire revenue should be devoted exclusively for purposes connected with the Sivanasamudram temples whose affairs should always be subject to the supervision of the Muzarai department.

The Govt debt on account of the restoration of the river channel has come into existence because the Jaghirdar failed in years gone by to discharge his duty to the Temple villages and he cannot therefore as a matter of right claim that this debt should be discharged from the net revenues of these villages, but this question need not be considered if the anticipated improved revenue is found capable of liquidating the debt in a reasonable number of years.

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

The justice of levying a contribution for Government jaghir lands depends upon the supply of new or additional irrigation given. Where no such supply is given, contribution should not be demanded. If petitioners' (ryots of Purigal) lands were previously assessed as wet under the channel the fact that the Jaghirdar allowed the channel to go to ruin cannot give him a right to claim from the ryots any portion of the cost of its restoration. Into the nature of the case it is not pretended that more supply than the old channel gave is intended. Petitioners apparently held on to their wet lands in the hope that the channel would some day be repaired and they cannot now be asked to bear any part of the cost of repair which should fall entirely on the Jaghirdar. For dry land if any newly converted

into what Contribution can of course be levied.

The Deputy Commr. should assess the Contribution levy on the foregoing principles. The Government will not of course interfere when there is a specific Contract between the jaghirdar and an individual ryot for payment of Contribution. The Deputy Commr. will report the circumstances of the case with details of area, rates fixed &c as regards both Government and jaghire lands."

12. The mother & guardian of the minor jaghirdar of Sivanasamudra prays for reconsideration of the above orders. She urges (vide Petn. Regd. no. 3463 dated 21-6-95):— Paper (35)

i That the Sannad dated 24th December 1829 under which the villages of Surigali and Hagalipur were granted ~~conferred~~ distinctly conferred on the ~~jaghirdar~~ grantee full powers of alienation of those villages and of ~~enjoyment~~ disposal of all profits

The original runs thus:-
"ಸ್ವಸ್ತಿ 1829 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ 24 ನೇ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ
ಶ್ರೀ. ಅಂತಸ್ಥಿತ ಮಹಾ ದೊರೆಯವರು ಆ
ತಮ್ಮ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಕಾವೇರಿ ಹೊಳೆಯ
ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ಧರ್ಮವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ
ಸೀವನಸಮುದ್ರ ಎಂಬ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸ
ಪೇಟೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು
ಶಿವಾಲಯವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿ
ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಇನಾಮಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು
ಅಗ್ರಹಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವು ಧರ್ಮಛತ್ರಗಳು
ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಇನಾಮಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ
ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಇವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ
ವರು". . . . . ಈ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರವನ್ನು
ದಾನಶಾಸನವು ಬೆಳಗುಪ್ಪ ಸೀಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು
ಸಾವಿರ ಸ್ಥಳ ತೆಂಕಲಗಂಬಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಸಾಸನವಾಗಿ ಇರುವುದು

that may accrue from the
for the benefit of his charities
said villages. Therefore the
grant by the jaghirdar
of Inams should be recognized
as valid and the income
derived from the villages
should be allowed to be
utilized not only for the
maintenance of the temple
at Sivanasamudra but also
for the upkeep of choultries,
agraharas and other charitable
institutions founded by the
original grantee of
the jaghir in connection with
Sivanasamudra.

ii That the several Chari-
-table institutions founded
by the original grantee are
all private works of charity
over which the Government
never until now attempted
to exercise any sort of control
Government should not there-
-fore interfere now with the
management of those insti-
-tutions.

iii That it was not the
jaghirdar that allowed the
channel in question to go to
ruin. On the other hand
the ruined condition of the
channel was due partly to

the negligence of the ryots holding lands under the channel who failed to make the needful petty repairs notwithstanding repeated demands from the jaghirdar and partly to the indifference of Government authorities who failed to take notice of the conduct of the good ryots holding lands higher up the channel who caused obstruction to the flow of water in the channel lower down. In fact it was the jaghirdar that ~~suffered~~ had to suffer from the neglected condition of the channel. Owing to want of irrigation for the lands, only a very small income used to be derived from the villages for a long time; and the jaghirdar however maintained at a cost of seventy or eighty thousand rupees the several charitable ~~institutions~~ institutions from other sources than the income of the villages. The ryots did not pay wet rates for their lands during the period when there was no supply of water thereto from the channel. Only Dengar

office note to be continued with recent narratives and the whole thing brought up to date. This is an important file and the progress of it from day to day must be entered in the note. I like to have all these papers very early

Mdy Pr. to
10/11/95

~~"Is there any explanation of this petition having been delayed for four months in submission"~~

~~Mdy Pr. to~~
~~10/11/95~~

15. On the 1st ~~nov~~ nov. 1895 Ramgappa and Seetha Ramayya of Purigal Village presented a petition to Govt praying that the Inams granted to them very long time ago by the Jaghirdar may not now be resumed and that the sale of those lands advertized to take place on the 9th nov. 1895 on account of arrears of Kandayam said to be due on them may be stayed pending disposal of their

* No. 1644

prayer for restoration of those Inam lands.

16. On the 5th Nov. 1895 Rungappa & Seetha Ramayya above named presents a reminder petition. These petitions ~~were~~ were submitted to the 2d Councillor who then ordered as follows:-

"The sale cannot be stayed, as the Dy Commr is in enforcing payment carrying out an order of Govt. If the Petr is not reconciled with that order & has any further representation to make he is advised to pay up the money under protest and stop the sale. Give Endorsement accordingly with copy to Dy Commr."

× no 1687

8-11-95

× Endorsement was given accordingly

17. Rungappa & Seetha Ramayya have again presented petitions praying for restoration of their Inams granted by the Sagheirdar of Sivana-Samudram

× nos 2013 & 2034 they state they have paid Ramayya as directed above

(43)

24 In reply the Comptroller states as follows [vide his letter no 3142 of 5th December 1895]:—

"The balance due on 30th June 1895 was Rupees 14,731-12-

The balance on 30th June 1894 was Rs 25805-13

during the year 1894-9 Rs 12364-6-0 was received of which Rs 11074-1-5 were credited to Principal and Rs 1290-4-7 to Interest

× × ×

On the 18th Dec. 1895 the 1st & the 2d Councillors heard Krishna Sivey Ayyan of Sivanasamudram Jaghir in connection with certain matters connected with the management of the jaghir. The jaghirdar's mother & guardian was not present.

25.××× In October 1895 Ramanadan Ammal wrote to the Mugavai Supt. stating that Amilbar Krishna Sing whose services had been temporarily lent to the Jahagirdar in March 1894 (vide G.O. No 94 of 1894), should not interfere with the management of the temples at Sivansamudram. The Dewan desired thereupon that the 1st & 2d Councillors should hear the parties and come to some settlement upon all points. Accordingly

× (37) in G.O. 794 of 1894

dar's mother & guardian:-

" The Amildar having been appointed by Govt at the said lady's instance & having been entrusted with the management of all the villages included in the jaghir (both in Mysore and in British Territory) there should be no interference, without reference to Government, either by the lady or by her agent with his collecting the revenue of the villages of the Coimbatore District"

This order has been issued.

27. The 1st & the 2d Councillors having ordered the case to be posted for hearing the case has been posted to the 30th instant and notices have been issued to the jaghirdar's mother & guardian, to her agent, & to the Amildar of the jaghir.

vide Rev. secretary's order of 14.1.96

28. By adjournment the Agents for the Jaghirdar's Guardian and Amildar Krishna Iyer were heard, on the 20th February 1896 in the presence of the Inspector Secretary.

brought forward at the time of death of present Jahagirdar. father have to be verified, a hence the necessity for examining the previous accounts also.

The Councillors consider it necessary, further, to ascertain what was the actual income and expenditure of the Jahagir since the death of the present Jahagirdar's father up to the date on which the Amildar was appointed by Govt.

Resumption of inams granted by the Jahagirdar in the Devadaya Inam villages.

Refers (20/b) para 4 - agent requesting remission; (20/a) para 17; (21) para 6; (21) para 4; (23) para 2; (34) paras 2 & 3; (37) para 1 Guardian's prayer for reconsideration; (36), (37), (44), (45) Petitions of two of the Inamdars for reconsideration.

Whether the [illegible] should not be re-granted, but question could not be re-opened, but [illegible] was also needed to have been [illegible]

8. Whether the ryots benefited by the improvement of the Channel should not pay contribution to the Jahagirdar.

Refers - (13/a) para 6; (14); (16) para 1; (17); (20/22) paras 19 & 22; (20) para 7; (21) para 5;

(22), (23) para 3, (24), (30), (32), (34) paras 8 & 9; (35) para III/166.

This question was reserved for consideration. The last para of the Councillors' minutes of 20.2.96 states — "The question as to whether the contribution originally promised by ryots at Rs. 15 per acre should be recovered is reserved for consideration as it entails a reconsideration of the order already passed by the Dewan."

Refer (17) para 26 of para 3 memo & para 3 of Dt Com. letter

9. What jewels the temples had & whether the Jahagirdars guardian should not account for them.

10. Whether lands in the name of the deity claimed by Agents should not be restored to the temples.

The Agents denied there were any jewels belonging to the temples. The Asst Commr referred to list signed by Mr. Subhapati Modliyar. The Muzarai Supt was asked to institute an enquiry in regard to the jewels & the lands. The 1st Councillor is in favour of leaving it to the Muzarai Supt to make an offl report on these points if he thinks it fit to do so. The 2d Councillor says — "the Muzarai Superintt will be asked to enquire & report"

29. The Dt Comr, in his report No 243 dated 21.8.95, has

(32)

asked for instructions on the following additional points

(1) better arrangements for the education of the minor Jaha-girdar – para 5.

(2) control over the Jahagirdar's house hold expenditure para 6.

(3) investment of cash balance para 8.

(4) inventory to be made of the Jahagirdar's personal property

(5) accounting of Bataji produ

30. These matters were not considered by the Council. The case was [illegible] the Council of Regency subject to consideration as it was decided by the Government of B. & R. No. 174 – There was [illegible] conversation in regard to the education of the minor Jahagirdar & one of the Councillors remarked he should be brought to Bangalore –

In the letter of the [illegible] dated 11-9-95 it is [illegible] "The Durbar are [illegible] the Secundrain [illegible] needed reform [illegible] -ment of the Mysore need to make provi- -ments for their ed- [illegible] the Government would be [illegible]

[illegible] vide this office
No 2996/2165 dt 11.9.95
(Paper no. 35)
of file No 94.

31. In reply, the Dy Commr reported* that the above order was communicated both to the Jahagir Amildar & the Jahagirdar's mother & guardian, so that the former, who was till then under the orders of the Dy Commr, as directed by Govt Pro: No (Camp) 496-7-6-94, might place self under the orders of Jahagirdar's guardian & mother & latter seek the advice of Commr; that the [illegible] till

* No 1247 dt 6.4.1896
(Paper no. 47).
of file no 94

+ Paper no. 13 of file no. 94.

ask for the Dy Commrs
advice as required in the
Govt order of 11 Novr 1895; that
he had no means of knowing
how the minor Jahagir-
dar's property was manage
how he was being edu-
cated, & that he could
not interfere in private
affairs like this without
proper authority.

32. In May 1896, Krishna-
sing the then Amildar &
the Jahagir having applied
for leave, the Dy Commr
was requested to submit,
for the orders of Govt, the
name of a substitute to
be nominated by the
Jahagirdar's guardian.

On the recommendation
of the Dy Commr at the
instance of the guardian

× Paper no. 50
of File no. 94.

& mother of the minor Jahagirdar, Rama-Swamaiya (retired Amildar) was appointed as "Manager of the Siva-Samudram Jahagir" on Rs. 50 a month, with powers under Section 99 of the L:R. Code to be exercised within the limits of the Jahagir. It was also desired that the "Manager" should place the Jahagir affairs on a more Satisfactory footing than they were hitherto. & Submit to the Dy Comm.r a report on the present condition of the Jahagir with detailed information regarding its revenue & management & particulars of any alienation,

Pro:
No 2189 & 25.8.96.
R.797
(Paper no. 58 of File no 94)

temporary or permanent, of the Jahagir revenue as originally granted by the Jahagirdars or his repre-sentatives.

33. On the 10th Septr 1896, the Collector of Coimbatore brought to the notice of the Dewan that the mis-management of the Jahagir, thro' the brother of the minor Jahagirdar's mother as agent, still continued, that the temples were in disrepair & Service therein was not properly performed & that the Bridge broke down this year; and, as directed by the Dewan, the Muzarai Secy was requested to enquire and do the needful regarding temple repairs & Service. The Dy Commr

vide paper No 49 of File No. 174.

No 2794 & 12.9.96
R.7.17493

Paper No 51 of file No. 174.

was requested to enquire into the condition of the Bridge, for the maintenance of which some of the villages have been granted, & Submit a report in communication with the Ex. Engr., as to what is being done to repair it & whether the Jahagirdar is doing Sufficient in the matter & what explanation he has to offer as regards his past neglect to repair the Bridge.

No 2793 / A 7-174-93 9.12.96

(vide paper no. 50 of file no. 174)

34. The Dy Commr reports that the Bridge was damaged in July 1896 on account of high floods in the Cauvery river, that this fact & the fact that the Jahagirdar had been called upon to repair the damage was reported in his letter

No 557 of 14.9.96.

(Paper no. 53 of file no. 174)

No 297 of the 11th August 1896, and that a further report will be made as to whether the repair has been executed or not after receipt of a reply from the Sub Or. Offr French Rocks, who has been addressed in the matter.

CC order on this letter of 25/9/96

Letter No 297 ~~of~~ is stated to have been Submitted to the Dewan thro' the P. W. D.

35. A requisition* has been received from the Supdt. of Inams for the return of the Inam Settlement Registers of Purigal & other Jahagir villages, which were obtained from him in Dec. 1895 as per order⊗ of the 2d Councillor with reference to a Petn from the Jahagirdar's mother praying for a reconsideration+ of the Govt order of 7 Dec. 94.

* No. 23 of 14/8/96 (Paper No. 52 of File No 174).

⊗ Paper No. 35 of File No. 174.

+ Paper No 34 of File No 174.

Orders are Solicited as to whether the Registers may be returned.

Yes if necessary. Review of New Register after Power returned

36. A Petition dated 10th Septr 1896 received from Rangamma of the Siva-Samudram Jahagir is Submitted for orders. He brings to notice how the Revenue of the Jahagir is being mis-used by the Agent and what mischief he has been doing. He Suggests that Ramaswamaiya, Manager, Should be invested with full Revr powers & Magisterial powers ~~in order to~~ having present unsafe condition regard to the ~~safety~~ of the ryots & the future well-being of the minor Jahagirdar,

× Regd no. 971 of 10-9-96. (Paper no 54 of file no 174).

Vol 2 Papers ~~Lie~~ Respectly.

25-9-96.

(Transferred to Peta Branch)

that
& the Jahagirdar's expenses
should be reduced from
Rs. 500 to Rs 300, & that the
power to appoint or dis-
-miss officials of the Jahagir
should be left in the
hands of the Dy Commr,
as, otherwise, the agent
will influence the Manager
to appoint his own men
to the detriment of honest
& hard working persons.

VR
11/9/96

Submitted to Govt

Orders solicited on paras
31 to 36 of the above Memo

HL
25/9/96

37. Note continued

No 627 d: 25 Sept/96
from Dy Comr Mysore

The Dy Comr ~~has~~ reports &c in
the letter cited in the margin that
at the end of the bridge (Ranga Setty)

a stone post has fallen down,
and that 3 stone pillars of the
"Rama Setuva" Bridge have cracked
a little and their "Sodgis"
washed away; that the bridges
have been temporarily put in order
by means of wooden boards &c
and that traffic is not impeded;
and that the requisite materials
have been already collected for
repairing the bridges when the
water goes down —

26/10/96

Dated 1- 2 d Inst.

The proper maintenance
of the bridges is a condition
attached to the grant. An
early & thorough repair of
the bridges may therefore
be insisted upon. May I
suggest that the Dy Comm
be asked to learn the Collector's grounds
~~of~~ of the consequences
of delay or default in
this respect?

27-10-96

The Inams Enumerated in the
list Subs. with the D.C.'s letter -
(Nos. 23) were not
all granted by the Jagheerdar
after 24 Decr '29 - Some of them
are Service Inams & other Inams excluded from
the assets of the Villages
at the time of
the Jagheer Grant and shd
not be interfered with.
The Inams granted by the
Jagheerdar shd alone
be resumed & charged
with fresh assessment as
directed above —

for purposes unconnected with the temple.

The proceeds of the two Villages
of Purigal & Kagipuram (as
now developed) shall be in addi-
tion for both & defraying the temple expenses
according the Existg Tastik Patti

will permit the extinction
of the Gov't debt in due Course
of time. The Jagheer of
will of Course continue to be at full
liberty to pay this debt
at any time & resume the
management of the Villages,
but whether there ~~shall~~ be
under ~~Gov't~~ the management of the Govt.
or ~~under~~ the Jagheer Dars'
their Entire Revenue
shall be devoted exclusively
for purposes connected
with the Temple, whose
affairs shall ~~for the~~
always be subject to the
~~present Control of the~~
~~Board of Management~~
Supervision of the Madras
Govt.

The Govt. debt on account
of restoration of the Channel
has come into existence because
the Jagheerdar failed to
keep open ~~to maintain the~~

by to discharge his duty ~~in respect~~
~~of maintaining~~ to the Temple Villages ~~Lettings~~
~~liquation~~ and he cannot, therefore
a matter of right claim that
this debt shall be discharged
from the net Revenues of these
Villages, but this ~~debt~~ question
need not be considered if
the anticipated improved
Revenue ~~of the~~ is found
Capable of liquidating the
debt in a reasonable
No of years

3 12 94

(35)

Subd Bn 2 (concluded)

The mother & guardian of the Jahagirdar solicits a reconsideration of the Order passed by the Dewan on 7th Dec 1894 (Serial No 34). The papers the orders upon are Serial Nos 23(a)3, 13, 16 & 17.

C.

20-10-95

File No 174 of 1893-94

Please submit
Mr Jagannath
(1) a copy of the grounds
of complaint, & Enquiry
from minor, (2) also the
Dewan Settlement deed of the
minor against which
moodian generally-
(3) Also the result of the
Revenue Settlement &
the minor in question &
which is
the amount of the debt
(4) How does
Jahagirdar - (5) How does
the Wife vide
concerned with the
management of the

Re Dewan's Orders
This petn came on for hearing
before Mr Inglis
in Chamber
10/11/95
Subd Bn 2 (concluded)
Mr Jagannath Rao
on behalf of the petitioner
Mr Bhashyam Aiyangar
on behalf of the minor
Mr Inglis
Mr Jagannath Rao
Mr Bhashyam Aiyangar
10/11/95
Mr Inglis
Mr Jagannath Rao
Mr Bhashyam Aiyangar
10/11/95

Shows Mr. called
& Mrs Ramm
Srivaikuntam
12.12.96

Office note

These papers were sent up in a loose bundle -
They shd have been arranged in book form
with a Continuation Paint on the top -
On the top of that again shd have been
placed the Office Precis continued up to
date. 10.9.96

Mr. Herbert Bradley Collector of
Coimbatore passing through Bangalore
after his visit to Srivaikuntam
saw me today.

He tells me that the mismanage-
ment of the Jaghire, through the
mothers' brother as agent still continues,
that the temples are in disrepair
and service therein is not properly performed
and that the Bridge broke down
lately the year &c.

He asked for a Copy of the order of Govt
acquiescing or agreement to
the appt of Rameswamiya as
Jaghire Manager

A Secy - this
please send this
at once to
Cubbon Hotel.
Sent 10.9.96
JL

One Villages are granted some for
temple & some for maintenance of
Bridge. Munzoor Secy. Md Enquire.
& do needful regarding temple repairs

1dly Towards the full payment of the expenses of the Management of the Villages & Maintenance of Irrigation Channels & other works;

~~And Mar~~

2dly Towards the temple Expenditure &c; & expiry Taxtik.

3dly Towards the liquidation of the Govt debt.

~~Govt~~ the interest on the Govt debt shd be annually calculated & ~~added to the Principal~~ when realized from the Net Revenues of the Villages ~~& above added~~, the ~~Govt~~ shd be added to the Principal — The ~~Govt~~ improvement in the Revenue of the Villages due to the restoration of the Irrigation Channel the introduction of Rev. Survey & Sett. & Govt management with it is hoped be found as to ~~Govt~~

F.V

this permits the extinction
of the Gut debt in due Course
of time - The Jagheerdar
will of Course continue to the at-full
liberty to pay this debt
at any time & resume the
management of the Villages,
but whether these shall be
under the management of
or under the Jagheerdar
their Entire Revenue
shall be devoted exclusively
for purposes Connected
with the Temples, whose
affairs shall always be subject
to the Direction &
Superintendence of the Govt
Dept.

The Govt. debt on account
of restoration of the Channel
has come into existence because
the Jagheerdar failed to
come to

& Service &

Deps Comr. Sh. Ishwari Enquire
into Condition of Bridges for the maintenance
of which some of the Villages have been
Granted & ~~after~~ in Comr. with Ex.
Report what is being done to repair
it and whether the Jagheerdar
is doing suffct in the matter
& what Exps he has & after with
past. neglect -

10/2/96

Sey

Add letter 2 despes
Dy
12/9

Subject.

LR 29/75

TABLE OF CONTENTS. R. 7. 176-93.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.
1.	5448-G201	4.10.75	To Comr, Astagram	Calling for a report on the petition of Ramaswami Mowsaliyar, Janopa-Karabarta, Jaghirdar of Sivasamudra
2.	557-18	7.10.75	From — do —	Promising a full report on receip from the S. P. W. of the estimate for the repairs to Ramaswami channe
3.	6058	23.10.75	To Secy, P. W. D.	Requesting him to expedite the transmission of the estimate abov referred to.
4.	3123	26.10.75	From Supg Engr for Irrigation.	Stating that the estimate will be prepared early.
5.	6492-G231	8.11.75	To Comr, Astagram	Forwarding copy of the above.
6.	474-39	14.5.78	To — do —	Forwarding for disposal a peti tion from certain ryots of Sarva-manya Peerigali, Malavalli Talu
7.	175-14	1.6.78	From — do —	Returning the above petition wit reference to the previous correspondenc about the extension of the Ramaswa channel.
8.	2205-G325	22.6.78	To Chief Engr P.W.	Enquiring what steps can be taken to dispose of the complaint of the ryots regarding repairs to the Ramaswami Channel.
9.	1503-4982	17.12.79	From Secy P. W. D.	Requesting early orders regard ing the contribution to be paid by the Jaghirdar of Sivasamudra towar the extension of the Ramasamy Channel.
10.	Petition	7.4.86	From Agent to Jaghirdar of Siva-samudra.	Praying that the Ramasamy Channel may be thoroughly re paired and offering to pay ha the cost of restoring the Poorcal Nala
11.		10.6.86	Office Note.	
12.	3869. 181	24.8.88	To D.C. Mysore.	Stating that the extension of the Ramasamy channel will be unde taken if the Jagirdar makes satis factory arrangement for the pay ment of his share of the cost.
13.	1382-90	1.10.88	Govt Order.	Laying down rules regarding the levy of contribution for works of irrigation.
14.	Petition	14.5.89	From Annamale Ammal, Guardian of the minor Jaghirdar	Praying for the repairs of the Nala & making certain offers for paym of the cost.
15.		31.5.89	Mr. A.R. Sabhapathi Mudaliyar.	Forwarding the above petition & stating that there is no objection to Govt taking the management of the Poorigal lands.

MGCPB—12-9-02—1,000

Subject.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date.	From or to whom.	Subject in abstract.
16.		6.6.89	Sir K. Seshadri Iyer	Memo on the extension of the Ramaswami Channel.
17.	Camp 215	do	To D.C. Mysore	Requesting him to take steps to assume the management of the Purigal village and to enforce the rules of 1888 regarding contributions.
18.	875	24.1.90	From do	Stating that the village may be taken under Govt management from April 90.
19.	8367-529	1.2.90	Govt Order	Directing that the village may be taken under management at once.
20.	674	23.8.90	From D.C. Mysore	Reporting that both the Purigal & Kaggalipur villages have been taken under Govt management & making certain recommendations to reduce expenditure.
21	6824-6/404	6.9.90	Govt Order	Passing orders on the above and directing an enquiry being held regarding the small inams alleged to exist in the villages. Also refusing to reduce the interest on the loan from 5 to 4 %.
22.	13225-788	24.2.91	To D.C. Mysore	Requesting him to report what steps he has taken to recover contribution payable towards Ramaswami Channel extension.
23	1426	26.2.91	From do	Reporting how the small inams are to be dealt with, and stating that ryots are prepared only to pay half the contribution payable by the Jagirdar.
24	61.	14.4.91	Do	Regarding compensation payable by the Govt villages to be benefited by the extension of the Ramaswami Channel.

MGCPB—12-9-02—1,000

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
25	743	13.5.91	From ~~Le Co Edgecumbe~~ Comptroller	Stating that his office had no intimation of the loan to the Sivasamudra Jagheerdar & requesting that in similar cases intimation may be given to him
26	5694-328	10-8-91	To Comptroller	Forwarding certain papers on the above subject for information & record
27	12246-R 1448-93	17-1-93	To D.C. Mysore	Requesting him to report what steps have been taken to collect the contribution due towards the Ramasami Channel extension.
~~28~~	~~28~~	~~12 Jan 93~~		
28	28	12-1-93	From do	Stating that the levy of contribution is held in abeyance pending orders as to the rate.
29	62	18.1.93	do	Requesting orders regarding the rate of contribution.
30	156.	19.1.93	From Ryots of Purigal	Praying that they may be exempted from paying contribution.
31	489	19.10.93	From D.C. Mysore.	Soliciting early orders regarding the rate of contribution
32	Petition	10.12.94	" Ryots of Purigal	Praying for ~~up~~ exemption from payment of contribution.
33.		27.10.96	Office note	
34.	9477-9 R 3174-93	7.12.94	Govt Order.	Passing orders regarding (1) lands held as inam without Saunads in Purigal & Kaglipura (2) revision of the Jasdik pattis of the temples at Sivasamudram and (3) amount of contribution to be levied.
35	3463	21.6.95	From Annamale Ammal Guardian of the minor Jagirdar.	Praying that the ryots may not be exempted from payment of contribution, that the inams ordered to be resumed be confirmed & that interest may be calculated half yearly.
36	1644	1.11.95	From Rangappa & Sitaramaiya of Purigal.	Representing that Govt has no right to resume the inams granted by the Jagirdar.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
37	1687	5-11-95	From Rangappa & Sivaramaiya of Purigal	Praying that the sale of their inam lands may be postponed.
38	-do-	11-11-95	To — do —	Informing them that the sale cannot be stayed.
39	5027-P1057	29-11-95	To D.C. Mysore.	Requesting him to report the result of Survey & Settlement of Purigal & Kaglipur.
40	5025-P.1055	-do-	To Supdt of Inam Settlements.	Calling for the Inam Settlement deeds of Purigal, Kaglipur & Sivasamudra Jaghir zemindy.
41	5026-P.1056	-do-	To Comptroller.	Enquiring how the loan account of Sivasamudra stands.
42	57	4-12-95	From Supdt, Inam Settlements	Forwarding the Inam Settlement Register of the Sivasamudra Jaghir.
43	3142	5-12-95	From Comptroller.	Stating that the balance due on account of the loan is Rs 14,731-12-0 on 30-6-1895.
44	2013	6-12-95	From Rangappa & Sivaramaiya.	Praying for early orders on the question of their inams.
45	2034	-do-	— do —	Praying that their inams may be continued.
46	1150	14-3-96	From D.C. Mysore.	Stating that the Survey & Settlement of Purigal & Kaglipur has resulted in an increase of Rs 5615-f.
47	8849-P.1779	29-3-96	To — do —	Reminder regarding the information called for in connection with the levy of contribution.
48	D.O.	7-9-96	From Mr H. Bradley, Collector of Coimbatore.	Asking for an interview with the Dewan to talk over the affairs of the Sivasamudra Jaghir.
49		10-9-96	Dewan's Note.	
50	2793-R.T. 174-93	12-9-96	Govt Orders	Directing the Dy Comr to enquire into the condition of the Bridge at Sivasamudra.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
51	2794 R.J. 174-93	12-9-96	To Muzrai Secretary	Forwarding for needful action extract from the Dewan's Note dt 10-9-96 about repairs of temples at Sivasamudram.
52	23	14-8-96	To Supdt of Inam Settlements.	Requesting return of certain Inam Registers of the Malavalli Taluk
53	557	14-9-96	From D.C. Mysore	Reporting that the Sivasamudram bridge has not been repaired.
54	3419 R.J. 174-93	25-9-96	To D.C. Mysore	Reminder about levy of contribution.
~~55~~ 56	3459	26-9-96	To Supdt of Inam Settlements.	Returning the Inam Registers of Purigal & 7 other villages.
56	3458	-do-	To D.C. Mysore.	Calling for the further report promised about the bridge.
57	627	25-9-96	From -do-	Stating that the bridge has been temporarily repaired.
58	1618	25-11-96	From Annamale Ammal	Praying that the temples & villages of Purigal & Kaglipur may be restored to the Jagirdar for independent management.
59	5391-R1812	12-12-96	To Muzrai Secy.	Transferring the above.
60	2153	2-2-98	From Annamale Ammal.	Praying that certain lands of Belakavadi which have been converted into wet may be properly assessed.

File No.

Subject

Table of Contents.

Serial No.	Communication No.	Date	From or to whom	Subject in abstract
61	8565-R.F. 17/4-93.	15-3-98	To D.C. Mysore.	Forwarding the above petition for report.
62	9978	19-4-98	—— Do ——	Reminder.
63	2616	21-5-03	From Rev: Comr	Subg the Dy Comr's report on the petition of Annanale Ammal.
64	R 489	11-8-03	Govt Order	Requesting the Rev Comr to investigate and report on the matter.

These letters are in the file -

2-97

1875.

From (2)

To The Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division

Dated Bangalore 4th October

Despatched

Received 6th

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 5448
No. 201

This office Official Memorandum No. 4789/179 dated the 18th Oct 1875 forwarding for early and full report a petition from Ramaswamy Moodely Jena-upadhya Karta Jageerdar of Devadanadri-

Call for attention to the above &c

By Order

Asst Secretary

1260

9
Dr Stan C-97
14-10-75

(3)

1875. No. 248

From The Commissioner, Ashtagram Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

(2)

Dated 7th
Despatched 12th October
Received 14th "

ENCLOSURES NO. one

General No. 537 } 1875-76.
Dist. No. 18

This Office Memo No 4709 dated 1st October 1875 giving return to petition 1240 from the Inhabitants of Lingasamudram on the subject of repairs to the Ramasamy Channel and Reminder No 5448 dated 4th Instant

With reference to the above proceedings herewith copy of letter No 861 dated 7th January 1875 from this Office to the address of the Executive Engineer D.P.W. reporting fully upon the matter, and stating that a complete report will be made on receipt of the Estimate for the repairs to the Channel alluded to.

Return

Commissioner
Ashtagram Division.

Approved
Draft letter
18/10/75

(1)

No. 661
273

Ashtagram Commrs Office
Dated 7th January 1875

To

The Under Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore

(2/a)

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 491 of the 8th September 1874 regarding the proposed ex-tension of the Ramaswamy Channel, I have the honor to state that agreeably to the instructions conveyed, I made full enquiries and caused the records to be searched for any references bearing on the subject. The Jahagirdar personally informed me that the present state of the Channel is owing to the discontinuance of the annual repairs by Govt., from the year 1858 or 1859, and that as there is no provision in the Sanad requiring him to carry them out or bear the cost he does not consider himself bound to contribute in any way. He subse-quently stated that he might possibly be disposed to bear the cost of the extension, if a regular supply of water was guaranteed and no call made on him for annual repairs hereafter, observing that the

Revenue

revenues of the villages of Poonjal, Kaghipur &c.
which were assigned for the maintenance of the
charitable institutions on the island of
Srirasamoodra have fallen off considerably
from the year 1857 owing to the substitution
of Bengal for wet rates and are scarcely
sufficient to cover the cost of existing establish-
-ment and other expenses connected with
the endowments.

According to the terms of the Sanad, the
lands are granted for the maintenance of
the Pagoda and Agrahar which I therefore
presume should be properly kept up as
originally constituted.

I went round the village in the island
and found it in a miserable state. The
best house is occupied by the Jahagirdar,
but all the buildings once inhabited by
Brahmin residents in the Agrahars are
now deserted and most of them in ruins.
There are a few wretched huts belonging to
the villagers but the street in which they
are situated is overgrown with vegetation.
The temples excepting one or two are
neglected and my Sheristadar whom I sent
to make enquiries reported that at the
highest estimate not more than Rs 100

per

per month is spent on this account.

The combined Inoogroy and Revenue ex=
=penditure does not exceed Rs 150 while the
revenue of the villages is over Rs 6000 per
annum, and I would recommend that the
Inoogroy Officer should at once report the
manner in which the funds are expended
and what amount should be devoted to the
maintenance of the institutions provided
there is no objection to this on account of the
island being British Territory.

The ryots of the villages of Vosrigal and
Kaglipur whom I summoned to meet me
at Bamoor told me that water was
freely supplied in the channel till the year
1857, when in consequence of the death of
Sadiachela Inoodr. son of the original
Jaihagirdar and the minority of the present
representative, no arrangements were made
for the repairs, and the result was that in
course of a few years, the usual supply
of water failed and the lands were there=
=fore on their complaints charged with
Bengar rates in lieu of the wet assess=
=ment levied on them previously.

I gathered from what they represented
that in consequence of the influence of

the

the Jahagirdar's ancestors repairs were formerly executed which since their death have been neglected and that the Government is to a great extent responsible for having neither executed them itself nor enforced their execution by the Jahagirdar.

They strongly urged the extension of the channel and that the cost should be borne by the Jahagirdar who would be reimbursed by realizing wet rates from them after the completion of the work, as it is estimated approximately that about 750 Khandies of the Jahagirlands will be brought under wet cultivation, if the proposed extension is carried out. (A reference to the old records shows that so long ago as the year 1837, the question in connection with the repairs of the Channel was discussed and after considerable enquiry the Superintendent represented to the Govt. that Inamdars generally including Ramaswamy Moodr. should contribute towards the repair of the channel, either in cash or labour. The reply to this reference is not forthcoming in the records but it is clear from the above that the cost of

the.

× Capt. Chalmers's letter No. 355 of 17th June 1859 to the address of Secretary. Bruyon Kranthgovernor's letter No. 1714 Dated 21/6/59 to the address of Secretary.

the repairs of the Channel under reference was recovered from the Jahagirdar. I enclose Copies of these letters as it is remarkable that the ancestor of the Jahagirdar should have been the person who then advocated a contribution by Inamdars and brought to notice that under former usage, such contributions were enforced facts which render untenable the allegation that the Channels should be kept in good order at the exclusive cost of Government. The Dy. Comm^r Dharwar District, states that the Jahagirdar first consented to bear the cost of the proposed extension and afterwards retracted on account of the heavy outlay estimated and he suggests the preparation of a regular estimate in order to determine the proportionate cost to be borne by the Jahagirdar. I concur in this and request that arrangements may be made for the early preparation of the estimate on receipt of which a further report will be made.

"Copy"

I have &c
Signed A. C. Bay
Comm^r Ashd^r

Bay
Comm^r Ashd^r

Jmcm

No 3123 (5)

Mysore Irrig. Engrs Office
P. W. Deptt Irrigation Br.
Bangalore 26th October,

(4)

To, The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of Mysore
General Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 6058
of 23rd instant (to the address of P.W.
Secretary, transferred to this Office
for disposal), requesting to expedite
the submission of the Estimate for
the repair and extension of the Ram
=savvy Channel, I have the hon
to inform you that the Cauvery
Irrigation Establishment have
been so fully employed in the
preparation of the Estimates for
the Chick Cauvery, Vizia=
=nuddy and Cauvery Channels
that they have been unable
to take up the Ramasawmy
Channel, a work which would
occupy some months. It will
however receive attention as
soon as the Cauvery Channel
Estimate is out of hand.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obt Servt
[illegible] Major R.E.
Offg Chief Engr for Irrigation

Y.M. N.D.

(6) 5

Letter No. 3123 of the 26th October 75 from the Superintending Engineer of Irrigation Works, stating that the estimate for the repair & extension of the Ramasawmy Channel will receive attention so soon as the Davaroy Channel Estimate is out of hand.

Order thereon

Nos 6492 of 8 Nov 75
Pc 231

Copy of the above letter communicated to the Comm. of Ashtagram Division with reference to his docket No. 557 of the 7th Oct. 75 to this Office, and to letter No. 661/273 of the 7th Jany. 75 to the address of the Under Secretary in the Irrigation Department.

To, The Comm., Ashtagram Div.

Offg Secretary

9
c-97

Circ. No 6492
1878 J 231

To
The Commissioner
Schutzgenn de

9/825 Laos
24

No 6492
J 231

Passing orders on the
subject of repairs and restoration
of the Cemetery Fences

Laos No 17 & 9 Jan 1878

(4)

90

6058
Encl 4

Mysore Chief Comr's Office
Bangalore 27th Oct 1875.

(3)

To.

The Secy: P. W. Department

Sir,

The question as to the proportionate cost to be borne by the Jaghirdar of Sira Samudra, towards the extension and repair of the Ramaswamy Chaund being under consideration, I am desired to request you will be so good as to expedite the transmission of the Estimate called for by the Comm^r of the Ashtagram Division in his letter No 661 dated 7th Jan 3/75, to 273 the address of the Under Secy: in the Irrigation Department.

I have &c

Offg Secretary

1875

C. 24.

No. The Secretar

F.

d. 23d Oct. 187

despy

The cost to
by the Jaghirda
Sanmukd turn
estimate + repa
Ramaswamy C
Requesting to
transmission
estimate called
the Ashtapam
Commissioner.

Daftar
Gf. No. 47
Fo. 39

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Official Memorandum.

(6)

The accompanying Petition No. 338, dated 28 April 1878 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Subbama, Rangappa and other Ryots of Sarvamanya Perigali, Malvalli Taluk is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosures~~ to the Commr of Nilgiri Division for enquiry & disposal.

BANGALORE,
14th May 1878.

"By Order,"

for Secretary.

(7)

To
The Commissioner of
Ashtagram

Dt. 14th May/78
Despd. — Do —

Daftar Genl. No. 474
To 39
Encr. One

Forwarding for enquiry
& disposal Petition No. 338
of 28th April/78 from
Subbanna & other Ryots
Malavalli Talook —

(9) 1878. No 24

From

(8)

To The Chief Engineer
Depn Pub Works

Dated Camp Myaree 22nd June 78.

Despatched ——————— 24th ——— " ———

Received

Enclosures No. 1 duplicate memo
No. 122 of 17-6-78.

General No. 2205 L

G No. 325

I Forwards for perusal a

précis of the correspondence

in this Office relating

to the repair of the

Naval Channel Myaree

district, and requests

that the Captain Superintendent may be asked

the Captain Superintendent what

steps can be taken early to

ascertain what is the progress of the

new Slip and report

to this Office the Captain Superintendent may be requested to

report what could be done

soon in the matter.

I have &c

Byron Lefroy

Secretary

* the obligingly returned.

Dft to
20/6/78

N
DEPT

1879-80.

18-12-79

Mysore Public Works Department.

No 1503-4982 (9)

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore

To The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore Revenue Departt.

Dated 14th December 79

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

Subject

This Office Endorsement dated 10th September 79, transferring by order of the Chief Commissioner for disposal in Revenue Department certain original papers regarding the contribution to be paid by the Jaghirdar of Sivasamoodram towards the extension of Ramasawmy Channel -

---

Has the honor to invite attention to the above, and to request the favor of early orders on the subject, and the return of the original papers to this Office -

By Order

M Johnson Lieut. Coll.

Secy. to the Chief Commr of Mysore

M. H. Johnson

Encd. nil

20/-

(10)

The Lessees shall also have the privilege of digging Gravel, Sand & Stone on the premises, & of quarrying Stone on the Quarry lot, & also on the Lot on the north, at about 25 to 30 rods from the Quarry lot, & also of digging Gravel & Sand on the meadow of Asher Williams, & of cutting the grass of said meadow during the season, & also of cutting & making Hay on the Lot on the Northerly side of the Original Stockade Lot.

It is further agreed, that the Lessees shall not have the privilege of digging Gravel on the Lot on the Northerly side of the Original Stockade Lot, or of digging Gravel & Sand on the Lot on the South

Under these circumstances, we most humbly and respectfully crave that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to confer a favour upon the Jagir by ordering especially the said Ramasawmy mullah to the fund in thorough repair, so that the sacred and charitable undertakings may be performed without hindrance or inconvenience from the legitimate income derived from the land granted for the purpose and the other religious uses may yet the more effectually be effected by the increase in the revenue of their lands and other irrigation works & works of charity.

We respectfully beg leave to represent here that by putting the said Ramasawmy mullah into repair it is not only beneficial to the Jagir but also to Government as it will give the reputed inhabitants of that land & thereby increase the revenue of Government, which varying the condition of the Jagir and Government ryots from [illegible]

Rec'd

A detailed memorandum
th correspondence from 1874 to 1880
is submitted for orders.
10-7-1886 A.A.

c. 20

(13)

(12)

Rev:

No 3869 of 24 August 88
181

Eg.

To

The Dy Comr of
Mgrm

Sir

I am requested to forward herewith for yr info Copy of the Dewan's Note in D.P.W. G 10 pg/88 regarding the extension of the Ramaswarm Channel together with a Petn ~~from~~ Rec^d. from certain Ryots of Purigal & other Villages.

2. I am to request that you will ascertain & Guardians from the Agents of the Jaghiredar of Sivan. Sammiram what arrangements the

After note

After taking a copy of the Enclosed Note, please send it to the Secy Dewans Office for record in the file in that Office

Dy Sec'y

are
~~to~~ prepared to make
for the payment
of the Irrigation dues'
share of the Cost
of the Extension -

3 The Estimate for this
work is now under
preparation in the
D.P.W, & the Irrigation
dues share of the
Cost is likely to be
much less than
the amount ~~X~~
Specified in para
2 of the Secretary's letter of
10th July 1888.

4 I am to add that
the share may be
between Rs 40,000
& 50,000, and that
it is highly desirable

That in the interests of
Govt., the Inquiries of
the 1905, this important
work ~~the~~ shd. not
be delayed any
longer.

5- If the Jagheerdar
enters into some
reasonable arrange-
ment, the work
will be taken in hand
in ~~the next~~ Dec.
next, and ~~the~~
~~Jagheerdar~~ the Durbar
would accordingly
request you to use
yr best Efforts
to Effect an Early
Settlement of this
Question which has
now been under
~~discussion~~ for many
yrs. by 24/8/80

1888

To.

Dy. Commissioner

Mysore Dt.

Dated. 24th. August 1888

No. 3869/181.

Requesting to ascertain & report what arrangements the Agent & Guardian of the Sivasamudram Jaghireda is prepared to ~~come to~~ make for payment of the Jahagiredar's share of the cost of the proposed extension of the Kasturiswara Channel

Vide Petition from the Guardian of the minor Jaghiredar of Sivasamudram dt. 14th. May 1889 & orders passed thereon

Divn. Memorandum & Letter to Comrs. dt. 1st. June 1889

Dy. Comrs. dt. 20th June 1889

12/a

D. P. W.

(with file of the General Dept)

Ramaswami Channel

This channel is in action up to 30 m. mile.

From 30 m. mile to the 40 m., the channel is not in action

These 10 miles command 2573 acres, of which 1575 acres are Inam land belonging to the Siva-Samudram Jaghire.

2. The channel up to the 30 m. mile was repaired in 1879, in accordance with an estimate for Rupees 1,37,976 sanctioned by the Government of India on 26 m. February 1879. This estimate provided for Head works and Section of channel capable of sending down a full Supply the whole length of 40 miles, though the channel itself was repaired

repaired under that estimate only up to 30th mile.

The increase in the estimate due to the provision for a possible supply up to the 40th mile was Rupees 14,800. The channel itself from the 30th to 40th mile was roughly estimated to cost Rs. 84,000-

Total Rupees 98,000.

The share of this amount proportionate to 1575 acres of Jaghire land was

$\frac{1575}{2573} \times 98000$, or

Rupees 60,431.

A proposal to levy this amount from the Jaghirdar in 1879/80 fell through.

3. Owing to the minority of the present Jaghirdar there is now every possibility of the Government being able to carry out the extension at once.

4. I accordingly asked that the subject might now be taken in hand

and I would like to know what has been done in the matter.

5. Is any increase of Capacity of the Head works or of the Section of the first 30 miles necessary for the proposed extension upto the 40th mile? Has not all such work duly provided for in the estimate for Rs. 1,37,976 sanctioned in 1879?

6. The Ryots of the Jaghire have complained to the Government against the Jaghirdar's omission to repair the channel from the 30th to 40th mile, and the Government in my opinion will be perfectly justified in compelling the Jaghirdar to repair his channel — If he is unable to do this work or pay for it immediately the Government should take possession of the Revenue of the lands till such time as may

be

be necessary for repaying the cost of repair with moderate interest thereon. The present state of disrepair of this channel has to my certain knowledge been a very great hardship to the Ryots of the Jaghire and Government Villages, and the Government is bound to do something to remove the same at once.

7. Before taking further action in the matter I would like to have a brief note of recent investigation of this channel made in view to its extension up to the 40 $\frac{th}{4}$ Mile.

Sd/ J. K. Sheshadriyer

'0-7-88

(22) Office of the Dew
Dated Mysore 1st
8

No 1382-90.

Order:— (13)

The Government having recently sanctioned several large irrigation projects, whereby lands hitherto entirely waste or paying only dry assessment will become convertible into wet-lands and be largely enhanced in value, and other similar projects being now under contemplation, it has become necessary to lay down the principle on which contribution will in future be levied from the land-holders benefited by such works.

2. Contributions in lump sums are often promised by Ryots for such irrigation works, but the distribution of such sums among individual Ryots is often a matter of considerable difficulty.

recurring, and has been known to place an undue burden upon those who have the least amount of influence in the village. Moreover, the levy of Contributions in anticipation of a future benefit is generally felt as a ~~intolerable~~ hardship by the ryots on the one hand, while on the other the Govt., in the absence of a fixed rule on the subject, generally receives much less than it has a right to expect. These considerations induced the Government in the case of the Rampur Channel now under consideration to abandon the levy of a contribution in a lump sum from the Ryots of the various villages who benefited by this work, and in lieu of such a contribution to stipulate with them for the payment by each individual of Rs 3/- per acre of dry land converted into wet by the new irrigation work, the payment being made in the years when water is —

is actually given for wet cultivation. This arrangement was readily accepted by the Ryots concerned and is a great improvement upon the old system of ~~paying~~ taking a contribution in one lump sum ~~in anticipation of a future benefit at a time when they were least able to pay, owing to the disrepair or non existence of the irrigation work in question~~. In the case of the proposed Reservoirs across the Chitravati rivers in the Kolar District, the Ryots promise a lump sum contribution of Rs 4000/-, but for reasons above given the Government are of opinion that a contribution according to the acreage of each individuals' holding would be preferable in every way, the rate per acre being fixed according to local circumstances. Such

a

a Contribution per acre
of Dryland converted into wet
will always be levied under
a Muchilka previously
executed by the Ryots con-
cerned.* ~~But~~ ~~This levy itself~~ In the opinion of the Govt. Such a levy
is perfectly equitable in
every way, and only amounts
to the working out on new
and equitable lines a
very old policy. When
Government undertake a
large Irrigation work
which ~~will~~ add great value
to the holdings below, it has
a right to participate in
some moderate degree in
the additional value ~~created~~ thus
imparted. It is sometimes
contended that the net
assessment imposed is
not sufficient return for
the Capital which Govern-
ment spends on a new work.
This is not the case. Suppose
that the value of a particular
piece of land now Dry and
assessed at 12 annas, is Rs 30/,
and that after Construction

construction of an Irrigation work, it is assessed at Rs 4/-, but notwithstanding such assessment acquires a market value of Rs 100/-. The increase of value of Rs 70/- is due partly to the construction of the Irrigation work, and partly to the position of the land itself. The Ryots concerned generally come forward to pay a voluntary Contribution towards the cost of the work, and such contribution is justly regarded by the Government as a strong proof of the popularity and usefulness of the proposed work, and

quite irrespective of the enhanced assessment but is

is an addl. ground for undertaking its early execution

3. At present, however, there are no definite rules as to when such Contributions should be collected as a matter of course, and several large works being now in hand the Government lay down the following rules for adoption

adoption in the case of any
irrigation work (both new
and extension and improve-
ment of old works) which
is likely to cost over Rs ~~25000~~ ~~60,000~~
Rs 25,000
(or which is an extension of
a river channel. These
rules shall however be
applicable only where the
lands have a ready saleable
value. —

18th When a proposal for
any such work as aforesaid
has been made and is under
investigation or consideration,
no Darkhast shall be ac-
cepted for any Government
unoccupied dry land likely
to be converted into wet
by such work. All such
unoccupied dry lands should be reserved for sale
~~be sold~~ by public auction
after the completion of
the work. Whenever an
Executive Engineer has
orders to investigate

a

a project for ~~such a~~ work
this kind
be framed forthwith prior
to that of the part of the
Deputy Commissioner or
Deputy Commissioners con-
cerned.

2ndly No Contribution in
a lump sum shall be levied
in respect of such work
from the holders of occupied
man or Govt
lands likely to be benefited
by the same, but they should
be called upon to execute
such bunds binding them-
selves to pay Government,
on the completion of the
work, a specified contri-
bution per acre of oc-
cupied dry land, which
may be converted into
wet, without in any
way affecting the liability
of the land to pay a rate
of assessment.

3rdly The contribution
per acre as per the fore-
going rule shall
generally

a project for ~~such a~~ work
of this kind
be framed forthwith prior
to the date of the Deputy Commissioner or
Deputy Commissioners con-
cerned.

2ndly No Contribution in
a lump sum shall be levied
in respect of such work
from the holders of occupied
lands likely to be benefited
by the same, but they shall
be called upon to execute
suitable binding them-
selves to pay to Government,
on the completion of the
work, a specified contri-
bution per acre of oc-
cupied dry land which
may be converted into
wet, without in any
way affecting the liability
of the land to pay a wet
rate of assessment.

3rdly The contribution
per acre as per the fore-
going rule shall
~~generally~~

According to the Rules of the Bye-laws Commissioner may [illegible]

generally be calculated, so as to be, as far as possible ~~one-third~~ One-fourth of the difference between the local market values of an acre of dry land and of an acre of wet land.

4thly A report of the fact that Inchalkar under these Rules have been taken should always accompany the Estimate for the work, which is submitted for the sanction of Government.

5thly In special cases in which the Deputy Commissioner may be of opinion that these Rules should not be applied, or that the rate above prescribed should be reduced, or increased, or that no contribution whatever, or only a contribution in a lump sum from the entire body of Ryots, should be levied, a statement by the Deputy Commissioner of his opinion with full reasons therefor should

according to the [illegible] Right Concerned
Varying from a Rupee & a half to [illegible]

~~generally be calculated~~
~~so as to be~~ as far as possible
~~[illegible]~~ of the difference
between the local market
values of an acre of dry land
and of an acre of wet land.—
4thly A report of the fact
that Muchalkas under
these Rules have been taken
should always accompany
the Estimate for the work,
which is submitted for the
sanction of Government.
5thly In Special cases in
which the Deputy Commissioner
may be of opinion that these
Rules should not be applied,
or that the rate above
prescribed should be reduced or increased,
or that no contribution
whatever, or only a contri-
bution in a lump sum
from the entire body of
Ryots, should be levied, a
Statement by the Deputy Com-
missioner of his opinion with
full reasons therefor should

should accompany the Estimate submitted for sanction —

And 6thly. Where holders of not less than $\frac{5}{6}$ths of the occupied area execute Punchilkas under these Rules, and the holders of the remaining area refuse to do so, the matter should be reported in the statement accompanying the Estimate, for the orders of Government, and the Government will then decide whether the work should be abandoned by reason of such refusal or whether the refusing landholders should be compelled to pay their ~~due~~ due share of contribution either in one lump or by means of a temporary addition to the next assessment for a specified number —

number of years, (say by seven or eight annual payments of a sixth of the contribution fixed).

4. These Rules shall at once be brought into operation in regard to all works now in hand of the description specified in ~~and described~~ in the preceding paragraph.

In regard to small works (not coming under the above Rules) it is not at present the intention of the Government to disturb the existing practice.

~~23/9/08~~

Chief Secretary

To.

The Deputy Commrs of Districts
" Secretary D. P. W.

(14)

Petition dated 14th May 1889 from Unnamalé Ammial (Guardian) and the Agents of the minor Jaghiredar of Sivasamudram.

We are highly thankful to H.H. the Maharaja's Govt. for the arrangements made for the conduct of the Jaghire affairs during the minority of the young Jaghiredar —

2. The (Jaghire) Estate has suffered considerable loss owing to the disrepair of the Purigal Channel — The revenue of the Purigal village is insufficient to meet the expenditure of the Devastans in the Jaghire, and for many years past, the Estate has been meeting the Devastan Expenditure out of its income from other villages —

3. The amount thus spent (excluding the revenue of Purigal village) comes to Rs. 52,687-5-10 for the last 20 years — Vide Statement appended —

Petrs. beg that the following arrangements may be made, viz :—

(1). That the Govt. undertake the repair of the Channel.

(2). That the contribution be collected from the Jaghire ryots, and taken Credit for the sum spent by Govt.

(3) That a similar Contribution be collected from the Inamdars holding lands under the Channel.

(4). That the arrears of revenue due by Ryots in Purigal & which they have promised to pay up as soon as the Channel work is commenced, be collected and credited towards the sum spent by Govt —

(5). That the nat revenue of Purigal (after paying the amount ~~Expenditure~~ required for Devastan Expenditure viz, Rs 3,578-13-10 per annum as per Sasdik Patties enclosed) be credited towards the sum spent by Govt.

(6). That the Govt. shall restore the Village if at any time ~~to~~ after the lapse of a few years, the Jaghire ~~[illegible]~~ pays up what may then be due to Govt —

(7) That the Govt make use of the ~~present~~ Existing Agency for collection of revenue &c.

(8) That a copy of the accounts of the receipts of the village & Expenditure incurred out of it be furnished to the Collector at the end of every year.

We beg that a copy of the Govt orders passed upon this petition may be furnished to us thro' the Dy Commr.

The following Statements are enclosed -

1. Statement of Taxation of Temples.
2. Statement of revenue of Punjai Village for 20 years
3. Statement of Devasthanam Expenditure for 20 years.
4. Abstract Statement of Receipts & Expenditure



(15)

Bangalore 31st May 1864

My dear Sir,

I beg to submit a representation from the widow Lady of the late Sivansamudrum Jagheerdar agreeing to the Government taking upon itself the management of the Poorygal Inam lands and the restoration of its Nala. The Statements accompanying will I trust give the required information.

There has been unnecessarily great delay in furnishing the Statements owing perhaps to the confused state in which the records is kept in the Jagheerdar's office

main

Yours very Sincerely

R. Sabonadière Walker

(17)

Camp No 215 dated 6th June 1889
14

To. The Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

I am directed to forward for your information & guidance & for communn. to the Guardian of the minor Jaghiredar of Sivasamudram, a copy of the Dewan's D.P.W. Memorandum passing orders on the Estimate for the Extension of the Ramaswami Channel.

2. I am also to forward for your information (14) a petition in original recd. from the Guardian of the minor Jaghiredar, together with Statements and papers as per enclosed list, relating to the Jaghir Putties & Expenditure of the Sivasamudram temples and to the revenue and expenditure

List of papers to accompany letter
to Dy. Comm.r Mysore Dt., do.
dated June 1st 29.

1. Petition dy. 14th May 29 from Unnamalé Ammal.
Mother & Guardian of the minor Jaghiredar
of Sivanasamudram.
2. - Jastick Patta of the Temples at Sivasamudram.
3. Statement of Devastan Expenditure for 20 years
4. Statement of the revenue collections of
Puriçal village for 20 years -
5 - Copies of His Highness the Maharajah's
Perwps dy. 24th December 1829 -
6. Statement of revenue Collections of Kaglipur
village for 13 years -

132

C.C.

R 1889-90

The Deputy Co
Mysore

Dated 6 - 6 - 89

Camp No 215

enclos
Draft

of the villages granted
~~to it~~. ~~I am~~ for their maintenance.

I am to request that
you will take early steps
to assume the management
of the Purigal village and
to enforce the Rules of
1st. October 1888 regarding
Contributions in that village,
as well as in other villages
commanded by the
Retension, and to fix a
reasonable amount for
the annual maintenance
of the Sivanalamudra
temples and connected
charities —.

I have &c

R. Vij

Chf Secy

Note

Keep a copy of the Drewan's
Memorandum with this Letter

(18)

No. 875. Mysore Deputy Commr's Office
dated Mysore 24 January
dep. 25 1890.

From G. F. Micklejohn Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

To, The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to report that
your letter dated 6th June 1889 (17) di-
-recting the Deputy Commissioner to
assume charge of the Periyal village,
was laid before me only this morning
as having had no orders passed
on it.

2. As directed therein I will today
communicate to the guardian
of the minor Jagirdar of Sivansa-
-mudram the Dewan's Memo-
-randum on the extension of the
channel -

3. As regards the assumption of the
village I have directed the Sub Di-
-Officer to arrange to take over the
village for the 1st April next, and
I request that the sanction of Govt
may be kindly accorded to this

postponement of assuming the management as it is enclosure convenient state of the affairs of the village from the Commencement of the official year than just at the end -

4 I have written, in accordance with para 11 of the Memorandum, and asked the Survey Superintendent to commence the Survey of the village at once, and will urge him to hasten on all the operations of the village so as to be able to introduce the settlement during the first year of Government management -

5. As regards para 3 of the letter and para 9 of the Memo, I have directed the ~~Sandoway~~ Akyab Officer to enquire into and arrange, in accordance with the instructions given, the annual allowances to be made to the temples and the connected charities -

6. In conclusion, I am regret that seven months should have been allowed to elapse before

attending touch an important
order, but it has only now been laid
before me.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servt
G. Mackleyston
Deputy Commissioner
Wm Jupsie District

(19)

Read:-

Letter from the Deputy Commr. Mepore Dt., (18) no. 875 of the 24th Janry. 189[illegible] reporting the steps he has taken towards assuming charge of the Inam village of Pooriyal in the Malwan Taluq, and requesting sanction to assume the management of the said Inam village from the 1st April next.

No 83b/91-2-90 / 529

Order thereon:-

As the ~~minor jaghirdar~~ mother of the minor jaghirdar of Srivaikundam (Tinnevelly Dt.) who is also ~~guardian to him has~~ asked that the Pooriyal village of the said minor should be re-tained under Govt. management and has the Ramaswami Chetty Extension may be carried out by Govt. the Jaghirdars' contribution being received

from the proceeds of the village after making due allowance for the maintenance of specified local charities, the Dewan fails to see why the affairs of the village should not be taken charge of by the Dy. Commr. at once. The fourth quarter of the year when the Jamabundy Settlements take place is peculiarly a favorable time for such assumption. The Deputy Commr. will therefore arrange for the Jamabundy of this village by the Sub-Division officer for the current year 1889-90, and assume charge of the affairs of the village at once, a report being submitted at the end of the year, on the

affairs

of the village generally.

Yours &c.

Chief Secretary

To

The Dy. Commr.

Mysore Dt.

9
C-27

1890

To

The Dy. Commr
Nilgiris

Dated 1-2-90

No. 8367
529

Re-drafts

Rd. 2 Thedden
Tahsildar

Attd
31/1

Directing that Punjal
Vellaie of Nedivandam
Adram Agricr may
at once be taken
charge of Jamabandi
Accepted &c

Received 30-12-89

(120) (20)

No: 674.
17/12

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 23d. August 1890.
25th

From,
G. F. Meiklejohn Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

Pro: Camp No 2157 (17)
6th June 1889.
" No 8367/529 (19) dated
1st July 1890.

With reference to Government orders as per margin, regarding the taking of the village of Poorigal of the Sivasamudram Jahgir, under Government management, I have the honor to submit, for the information and orders of Government, the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's letter No 47 dated 6th Instant and its enclosures, as regards Poorigal and Kaggalipur.

2. It will be seen that the Sub Division Officer has not only taken the Poorigal village but also the Kaggalipur village under Government management.

3. He reports the yearly income of these villages to be now Rs 3,200-8-6. The yearly expenditure on account of temple, village establishment &c, he reports to be Rs 3,947-2-9

4. Besides this the villages must now pay to Government Rs 1750 per annum,

i interest

interest at 5 per cent of the contribution amount. Even if instalments towards reduction in capital of the debt are not demanded, there will be thus a yearly deficit of Rs 2,570-4-4. There has evidently been gross revenue mismanagement of these two villages hitherto, and doubtless the result of the survey and the channel extension together will be to double the income.

5. Till this is done the recovery of the arrears of Rs 4057-6-2 and a reduction of the expenditure by abolishing the extra Mutsaddi entertained in the Taluk (as with three Shanbhogs the services of a Mutsaddi, in addition, seems superfluous) and a reduction in the temple establishment which I think, in the face of the state of the funds, should be insisted on, will suffice to keep the expenditure and income equal.

6. The Assistant Commissioner in charge of French Rocks Sub Division should, I think, be directed to hold an enquiry into the matter of lands stated to be held as phut inams without title, and to pass orders on each case on its merits, resuming or confirming the inam as the

the case may be -, such orders of course to be subject to the confirmation of Government.

7. As regards the contribution, I have sent a copy of Government Proceedings on the Hulhalli Channel contribution matter, to the Sub Division officer, as a guide in fixing the contribution to be levied from the ryots below this extension, and I have also called his attention to clause 6 of para 3 of Government Proceedings dated 1st October 1888.

8. I had written to the Survey Superintendent as to the early settlement of these villages whose reply is attached. This means that the survey settlement cannot be introduced probably till 1892-93, a delay which is much to be deprecated, when the state of the present revenue management of these two villages is considered.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servant,
J. McAlpin
Dy. Commissioner
Mysore Dist.

1572

Repts 289
6. 8.90

20/a

Camp No. 4/ Encls 6.

Rec'd 8/8/90.
Ans. Sent Dindigul 8/8/90.

From

The French Rocks Sub Division

Dated 3rd August 1890.

Camp Kandya.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner

Dindigul District

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government noted in the margin[x] assuming the management of the village of Poorigal one of the Jahageer villages of the Sivanasamudram Jahageerdar (Granted for the maintenance of the Sivanasamudram temples), I have the honor to report as follows:-

[x] Camp No. 215 dt 6-6-89 Sot
Gov. No. 836/529 dt 1-2-90

2. The Revenue of the village of Poorigal not being sufficient to meet the temple, and charitable expences, the Jahageerdar consented to make over both Poorigal and Kaggalipoor villages to Government management, and they have been duly taken charge of in February last by my predecessor.

3. The Jamabandy of these villages

for the year 1889 & 90 was conducted in the same month with the results as noted in the margin. A comparative Babwar Statement is enclosed for reference; from which it will be seen that there is a net increase of Rs 203-11-10 from both Villages.

x

Poorigal including local cess. } Rs 2598-6-11
From Kaggilipur &c } 512-4-7
2 anna cess on arrak sales } 68-8-0
Cattle fines &c 21-5-0
Total Rs 3200-8-6

4. I checked the Jasdeek patty of the temple establishment. The agent of the Jahageerdar states that he has already revised it, and abolished such offices as were found unnecessary. I think the establishment as it now stands is necessary for the proper performance of the duties connected with the temple.

5. The wages for the servants appear to be rather high as compared with those prevailing in other temples, but when the unhealthiness of the place is taken into account I do not at all consider them high. These servants will have to come from adjoining villages of Belakavady Sattiyagal &c. A man cannot live in Sivanasamudram for more than 3 or 4 months without falling ill. So the temple servants work by turns. The present rates of wages have been fixed by a former Jahageerdar and they cannot be reduced without crippling the service.

6. A native Pandit is attached to the temple establishment and he is paid 5 Rupees a month. He treats temple servants aswell as the Villagers.

7. The allowance for the Chatram

is fixed at Rupees 10/. per month and this is being regularly spent. Thus, no reduction is possible under these heads of expenditure

8. The Village establishment is composed of one Potel, and three Shanbogues (Two in Poorigal) between the two Villages. A Mootsaddi on Rupees 8/. and a contingent allowance of a rupee per month, has been added to the expenditure from the time the Villages were assumed charge of by the Government. The duties of the Mootsaddi is to tabulate all the accounts necessary for the information of the Amildar & copy correspondence &c connected with the affairs of the Jahageer Villages. He is also to assist in making collections. The Jahageerdar has consented to this arrangement; and I think there is sufficient work for one hand.

9. In addition to the above charges those Villages will have to contribute Rupees 295-12-11 to the District funds. Thus the annual expenditure comes to Rupees 3947-2-9 as particularized below:-

Cost of the temple establishment &c.	Rs	3430-13-10
Village establishment - - -	"	112-8-4
Taluq establishment &c. -	"	108-"-"-
Amount of Contribution to District funds. - - - - - - - - - - - -	"	295-12-11
Rs 278-2-6. Local fund.		
17-10-5. Irrigation fund.		
Total expenditure	Rs	3947-2-9

10. It will be seen from the above figure that the expenditure is more by Rs 820-4-4

(excluding Band charges), and the Jahageerdars agent proposes to pay the difference from the former arrears due from the two villages in question, or from the Revenue of the other villages, until such time as the Revenue of the villages in question is increased to such an extent as to meet all charges.

11. The arrears of previous years is Rs. 4057-6-2. The amildar has been ordered to take stringent measures to collect this heavy arrears. In addition to this, there is in deposit in the Taluq Treasury a Sum of Rupees 2089-0-7, collected after the management of the villages was assumed by the Government. Orders have been issued to deduct out of this Sum Rs. 875/- on account of interest at 5 per cent per annum from 1st October 1889 to 31st March 1890. Out of the balance of Rs. 1214-0-7, Rs. 1000/- will be taken for the present, towards the reduction of the debt, retaining Rs. 214-0-7 for the current expenditure of the temple.

12. Of course the finances will not balance until the completion of the channel and consequent increase to the Revenue. The Jahageerdar will have to pay from the Revenues of the other villages sufficient Sum to meet the temple charges, interest &c. up to such period; which he has consented to do.

13. I think the channel will be completed in the course of the next year, i.e. by the end of March 1891, and it will take another year to realize to the full extent the anticipated Revenue. In the meantime, the current Revenue including the outstanding balance will be barely sufficient to meet the temple, and other charges and the interest. It is therefore not possible to pay the fixed percentage to reduce the debt.

14. A statement showing the estimated receipts and expenditure for the next two years is enclosed; from which it will be seen that the expenditure is greater by Rupees 4328-14-10 which the Jahageerdar will have to make good

15. I must here observe that the Jahageerdars agents have been very irregular and unsystematic in making collections. In several cases they seem to have had recourse to civil Courts; in some of which they have only sued for a portion of the arrears. Now the Ryots object to pay the portion remaining unsued for. I examined the accounts most carefully and had an individual statement of arrears prepared, and issued strict orders for the recovery of all outstanding balances, for which Ryots fail to produce Jahageerdar's or agents' receipts

18. I must also bring to notice, that there is good deal of clandistine cultivation in these villages. It is almost impossible to discover it, as there are numerous sub Divisions in an individual number. I do not hesitate to say that the Revenue administration of these villages has fallen into cronic disorder. A Raiyat who according to the village accounts is said to hold one khandy, actually enjoys twice and in some instances three times the area. Moreover it is not possible to discover who is the holder of a given sub Division. The Patta is in one mans name, and there are about 15 or 20 shareholders in it. In such cases the recovery of Kandayem becomes rather a difficult problem. Again the Government will have to recover a heavy debt from the Revenue of these villages. I have every reason to believe that the introduction of the Survey Settlement will materially go to augment the Revenue, and simplify the process of recovering the debt. For these reasons I would strongly recommend that the Survey Settlement may be at once introduced into these villages as a special case. The Settlement of the Inam villages attached to the temple at Chamaraja Nagar is a precedent in favour of my recommendation. If the Survey

150

Department classify the
the assessment in the next field season,
the Revenue Department will easily
settle the Jamabandy if so arranged,
in the current official year. I therefore
request you will kindly submit the
proposal for the favourable consideration
of the Government.

19. I paid careful attention to the
question of acerage contribution. The
Raiyats, most probably instigated
by some discontented brahmin land-
holders flatly refuse to contribute any
sum. I remained in the village for a day
and explained to them the orders of
Government on the subject and impressed
on their mind that they are bound to
pay, as other Government Raiyats,
holding lands under Government -s
irregation works, but they persisted
in not coming to any terms.

20. There is no question, about the
liability of the Raiyats to pay contribu-
-tion. The temple will in the long run
suffer, if the contribution from Raiyats
is not levied. The work undertaken is
virtually a new one, costing more than
25,000 Rupees, and the Raiyats benifit
by such work must I think contribute
their share.

21. The wet lands are very fertile, &
there are excellent markets viz, Kolle

16. There is another item of Rs. 262-8-5 under the head "Towcoof" or abeyance. The wet lands in the village of Poorigal pay "Bengar Kandayem" or half assessment. Out of this, without any fixed principle certain portion has been left uncollected and shown in the accounts as "Towcoof." In some instances this concession has been shown in the case of dry lands as well. This is altogether unreasonable and uncalled for leniency. I have therefore ordered the collection of the amount due for the year 1889-90, and not to grant any such concession for the future.

17. Lands of the Poorigal village, as per accompanying list and assessed at Rs. 94-4-6 are being enjoyed as Sarwa Inam by different persons from a long time. These Inams are said to have been granted by former Jahageerdars. When and for what purpose they have been granted, there is nothing on the records to show. The alleged Inamdars hold no sanads and simply say that they enjoy from a long time. I think the Jahageerdars have no authority to grant such Inams as the village is given as Jahageer for the maintenance of the temple. I think all these Inams should be at once resumed. I solicit orders because the lands are being enjoyed free of rent from a long time.

and Malavally at the very door of the Raiyats, where their produce finds a ready sale. The difference between the market value of the wet and dry land, I learn from local enquiry is Rupees 75. (The average market value of the wet land per acre is Rs 150/- and that of the dry is 75/- Rs). I would recommend that one-fifth of Rupees 75/- Viz Rs 15/- be fixed per acre as Raiyats share of the cost of the work. I do not think it a high rate, taking the nature of the irrigation work which is of a permanent character, and never fails in any season.

22. I would also recommend that this amount may be recovered in Six years by means of a temporary addition to the wet assessment. I think that this is a reasonable proposal, and request that you will move the Government to Sanction it.

23. In conclusion I beg to add that the Jahageerdar's agent complains that the rate of interest is rather high. I think the question cannot now be reopened, as it has been once finally settled.

24. The Agents Kyfiyat is enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

D Ramaseshiah
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

A

tement showing the detail cost of Temple Establishment Chatrams &c connected to Sivanasamudram.

Name of Temple &c	Particulars of expenditure	cost per Month			Total cost per annum			Remarks.
Sree Ranganathaswamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	36	7	7	437	11	"	Copy sent to Resident Vide Jo No 417 13/2/98 D
	Periodical ceremonies & Procepsions	"	"	"	480	8	4	
	Establishment	83	8	"	1002	"	"	
	Total				1920	3	4	
Sree Someswaraswamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	23	3	7	278	11	1	
	Periodical ceremonies & Procepsions	"	"	"	262	6	2	
	Establishment	40	6	8	485	"	"	
	Total				1026	1	3	
Sree Prasanna Kanjoondéswara Swamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	1	2	"	13	8	"	
	Periodical ceremonies	"	"	"	1	"	7	
	Establishment	"	8	"	6	"	"	
	Total				20	8	7	
Anjanaya Swamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	1	2	"	13	8	"	
	Periodical ceremonies	"	"	"	1	"	7	
	Establishment	"	8	"	6	"	"	
	Total				20	8	7	
Vigneswara Swamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	1	2	"	13	8	"	
	Periodical ceremonies	"	"	"	1	"	7	
	Establishment	1	"	"	12	"	"	
	Total				26	8	7	
Veerabhadra Swamy	Padithara Deeparadhané	1	4	6	15	6	"	
	Periodical ceremonies & Procepsions.	"	"	"	11	4	4	
	Establishment	2	"	"	24	"	"	
	Total				50	10	4	
Sivapoojay	Padithara Deeparadhané	1	15	6	23	10	"	

Siddappaji temple	Padithara Deeparadhané	"	10	11	8	3 "
	Periodical Ceremonies	"	"	"	"	3 2
	Establishment ——	"	8	"	6	" 1
	Total ——				14	6 2
Maramma	Padithara Deeparadhané	6	14	8	83	" "
	Periodical Ceremonies & Processions	"	"	"	17	12 "
	Establishment ——	3	8	"	42	" "
	Total ——				142	12 "
Durga.	Periodical Ceremony	"	"	"	5	9 "
Chatram.	Feeding purposes —	10	"	"	120	" "
Native Pandit	Establishment — — —	5	"	"	60	" "
Village Establishment.	Poorigali shanboguespay	2	"	"	24	" "
	Do — Do ——	2	"	"	24	" "
	Do — Potél ——	4	"	"	48	" "
	Kaggalipur shanboguespay	1	6	"	16	8 "
	Total ——				112	8 "
Taluk Establishment.	Mutsaddys pay —	8	"	"	96	" "
	Contingency ——	1	"	"	12	" "
	Total ——				108	" "
	Grand total ——				3651	5 10

D Ramaculbr
Sub Division Office
French Rocks

D

Detail of Establishment attached to the temples of Swansammdram.

B

Copy sent to Resident Vide D.O. No. 304/13/2/28

Name of the Temple.	No.	Name & Designation	Pay per month			Remarks
Sree Ranganatha Swamy.	1.	Archik. Narasaraj bhatt.	14	"	"	
	2.	Paricharak. Narasimachar	7	"	"	
	3.	First Theertham. Narasimachar	2	"	"	
	4.	Cook. Ramaswamy Iyngar.	7	"	"	
	5.	Sahasranamam. Nagadi Shanaiyngar	10	"	"	
	6.	Kavalgar. Kunna	3	"	"	
	7.	Mohari. Nudda	5	"	"	
	8.	Sruti. Dasa	3	"	"	
	9.	Chimagali. Narichala	2	8	"	
	10.	Davane. Chenna	2	8	"	
	11.	Kylhalas Sidda	2	8	"	
	12	Mashalchi. Nudda	2	8	"	
	13	Huvadiga. Veeriah	2	"	"	
	14	Flower gardener. Koosa.	3	"	"	
	15	Rangavalli. Nanjoobaye	"	8	"	
	16	Rice cleaner Rangi.	"	8	"	
	17	Mejaroy Goomasta. Muthoosamy Modaliyar	3	8	"	
	18.	Peon. Chaboo.	4	"	"	
	19	Canal keeper. Lalamiya.	5	"	"	
	20	Do. - do - Badesab.	4	"	"	

Sree Someswara Swamy —	1	Archik. Kapanipati Bhatt	8	"	"
	2.	Paracharik. Sooryanarayana Bhatt.	7	"	"
	3.	Cook. Shamanna.	7	"	"
	4.	Kavalgar. Bettinada	3	"	"
	5	Davané. Mari —	2	8	"
	6.	Chimagali. Mugana kala	2	8	"
	7.	Jagate. Seegenada.	2	8	"
	8.	Hiwadiga. Kanjoobaye	2	"	"
	9	Mashalchi. Kullana nada.	2	8	"
	10	Koondoori Ricecleaner.	-	8	-
	11	Rangavalli. Kanjoobaye	"	6	8
	12	Storekeeper Moothoosami Modaliyar	2	8	"
		Total.	40	6	8
Minor Temples.					
Kanjoondeswara Swamy —	1	Archik. Sooryanarayan bhatt	"	8	"
Anjaneya —	2.	Do. Narsimachar —	"	8	"
Vinayaka —	3.	Jammadi. Veeriah.	1	"	"
Veerabhadra.	4.	Do. Veeriah.	2	"	"
Siddappagi.	5	Do. Devaragudda	"	8	"
Mari.	6.	Do. Ravala —	3	"	"
	7	Rangavalli Kanjoobaye	"	8	"
Native Pandit	8	Siddalingappa.	5	"	"
		Grand Total — —	136	14	8

D Ramachandra
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

Statement showing Inamlands enjoyed by different persons in the Poorigal village without Sannads.

General or Serial No.	Name of Inamdar.	Pahani No. of Lands	Extent. Kharab	Kolaga	Inama	Assessment			Remarks.
1.	Veerabhadra Devaroo	61	"	5	8	3	3	3	
		300/2	"	18	1	2	9	11	
2.	Baseeswara	233	-	7	8 1/4	2	14	7	
3.	Lakshminarayanswami	417	"	6	2	"	15	8	
4.	Viseeswara	643	1	8	"	5	14	"	
5.	Maramma	218	1	4	5 1/2	2	14	7	
6.	Seetharamiah	507	1	1	4 1/2	2	10	"	
		516	"	19	7	3	10	1	
		585/1	"	17	4 1/2	2	7	7	
7.	Narasappa, Moorty appa	542	2	5	12	14	3	6	
8.	Lakshminarasiah	377/1	2	17	9	8	11	8	
9.	Anathatheertha-bhari & Narasiah	301/1	5	18	6 1/4	8	11	8	
10	Chennabasava Vaderoo	148/1	1	10	"	8	11	8	
11.	Totada Ranja	128	-	7	7	2	3	"	
12.	H. Malla	173	-	7	-	2	3	"	
13.	K. Ranja	175	-	7	2	2	3	"	
14.	Ranjana Malla	187	-	8	10 1/2	2	3	"	
15.	Virganti Malla	199/2	-	9	6	2	3	"	
16.	Boodda	209/1	-	10	"	2	3	"	
17.	K. Devaragudda	226/1	-	10	"	2	3	"	
18.	Sandana Malla	231/1	-	13	3 1/4	3	1	6	
19	Koli Budda	236/1	-	9	2 1/4	2	3	"	
20	Chenna Malla	454	-	11	6	1	10	10	
21	D. Ranja	504	-	8	11 1/2	2	3	"	
22.	Bhoji	213	-	8	"	2	3	"	
	Total	-	25	9	4 1/2	94	4	6.	

D Ramachandra
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಲೇಖಕರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ.
ಅವು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಲೇಖಕರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ವ್ಯಕ್ತವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಲೇಖಕರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ವ್ಯಕ್ತವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ.

ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಲೇಖಕರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅವು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ವ್ಯಕ್ತವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ.

5.

3. 262-8-5

4. 94-4-9

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Before me

[illegible]

[illegible]

(21)

No 682 b 90-91

Read:-

(20)

Letter No 674 of the 23rd August 1890, from the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore distnc

~~regarding the~~

order thereon:-

The Dy. Commr. reports having assumed charge of the villages of Purigal and Kaggalipur belonging to the Jagiredar of Sivasamudram in accordance with the orders contained in Pro: No. 215 dated 6th June 1889.

2- The Jamabandy of the two villages for the year 1889-90 amounted to Rs 3,200-8-6. Since the assumption of the management by Govt, a portion of the previous years' arrears, amounting to Rs 2089-0-7, was collected, leaving

Rs 4057-6-2 still remaining to be collected.

3 The cost of the Village Establishment, temple Establishments & services and payments to Govt on account of local & Irrigation Cesses amount to Rs 3839-2-9- The Sub Divn Officers proposal to Entertain a Mutsuddy on Rs 8+1 Contingent allowance on the Taluk Establishment to attend to correspondence connected with these villages cannot be sanctioned. There are 3 Chambolies and they should be sufficient for all purposes. Any feasible reduction in the temple Establishment should be at

once carried out, so as to ~~proceed~~ avoid a deficit as far as possible — ✓

4 The Asst Commr. in charge of the Sub division should hold a regular enquiry into the nature of the loans alleged to exist in the villages in question and submit a report thro' the Dy Commr as to how he proposes to deal with them.

5 The Dy. Commr's proposals to take the orders issued in regard to the levy of contributions for the Anikatti Channel, as a guide in fixing the contribution to be levied from the ryots benefited by the extension of the Ramaswami channel, is approved. ✓

6 The Govt ~~agree that~~ ~~it is~~ desirable to reduce the rate of interest ~~charged~~

✓ It is noted that the Collr of Jaghiredar ~~appeals~~ offers to make up any deficit that may ~~occur~~ result on each year's transaction, from the income of the other villages attached to the Jaghire, ~~is noted~~.

This document is the property of His Britannic Majesty's Government. Loan 5th [illegible]

2
V-77

chargeable on the loan advanced by Govt in payment of the Jaghiredars share of the cost of the extension of the Rama-Swami channel.

Chief Secy.

To Mr Dy Comm^r, Mysore Dr.
for info.

1890

Mr Deputy Commr
Mysore Dist

Comptroller
Secretary D.P.W.
for information

Ramanathapuram Dist. Sayanam Lr. 13225 dt 24/9/90
From the Tahsildar 26 dt 2-9-90
7880

12 September 1890
No 6824-6
404.

Passing orders in the matter of the villages of Bungal and Kazzalipur, belonging to the Jaghiredar of Sivasamudram the same having been taken charge of by the D.C. Mysore in accordance with the orders contained in Pro: No 215 dt 6/6/89

(General Serial No. 30).

(22)

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. _____
No.

(23)

(22)

No. 13225 / 24-2-91
788

To the Dy C

Mysore Subsidy

The Dy C's attention is
last
drawn to para 4 of this
Office letter No 215 of 6th June
'89 para 5 of P.D.S
No 6824-6 of 12th Sept last
204
on the subject of Contin-
gencies for Reclamation
Channel Extension
& the Dy C is requested
to submit immediately
a report of the steps which
have been taken by him
with reference to the instructions
therein contd

HOG
25 Aug 91

From the Secretary
No 1476 of 1891

(24)

(23)

1426

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 26th February 1891.

From,
D. Namacul Row Esquire
Offg: Dep: Commissioner

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

(21) With reference to Government Order No 6824/404-6 dated 12th September 1890, directing an enquiry into the nature of the Inams alleged to exist in the villages of Poorigal and Kaggalipura belonging to the Jahgirdar of Swasamudram, and the submission of a report on the subject, I have the honor to state that when I was Sub Division Officer at French Rocks I went to the villages and held a careful enquiry into the several points contained in the Government order, with the following result.

2. With regard to Inams, I beg to submit herewith a statement shewing the nature and description of each Inam, and how it is proposed to be dealt with.

If approved, the Inam Settlement may be asked to settle these Inams on the principles in the other similar cases in the Kampum Holy Land in which they settled.

3. As regards the rate of contribution payable by the ryots who will be benefited by the channel extension, I explained to them at some length the good intentions of Government and the benefits accruing to them thereby. They are willing to contribute only half the amount of contribution payable by the Jahgridar on this account, and they say they would pay their quota in 5 years commencing from the time water is supplied to their lands. They also state that if Government would not accede to their proposals they would rather remain without asking for water and keep their lands dry and continue the dry cultivation as at present. According to the proposals of the ryots, the rate of contribution, calculated on the amount payable by the Jahgridar, comes to about 11 Rs per acre. The Nutchilika given by the ryots is embodied in the Canarese correspondence, herewith submitted. From the personal enquiries I have made in the matter their chief contention however, seems to be this:

they say that the Jahgirdar is mainly responsible for having allowed the channel to fall into a state of disrepair for a series of years, thus retarding the progress of wet cultivation and crippling the means and resources of the people, and that, therefore, he should participate in the cost of the channel work equally with the ryots, owing to his long and continued neglect of action. In this view they allege that whatever sum they contribute will go to lessen the burden of the cost actually payable by the Jahgirdar, and that eventually he will be profited at their expense. I think the representations of the ryots are true to a great extent and deserve consideration at the hands of Government.

This may be in the case of lands already under irrigation. But not so in the case of dry lands which may be converted

4. With reference to para 3 of Government order, they to state that no reduction in the Temple establishment is feasible. The Srivasanmdram village is a sickly place; and if any reduction is made the servants of the temples

will

will be discouraged and thereby the Temple services will be interrupted.

5. I solicit the favor of early orders with regard to the question of contribution referred to in para 3, so that necessary steps may be taken in the matter without delay.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servant,
D Macaulay
Offg Dy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

(25)

No 61

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District

(24)

Dated Mysore 14th April 1891

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No 1426 of 28th February last, I have the honour to state that besides Poorigal, the Inam Village belonging to the Sivaganga Moodrum Jaghirdar, the marginally noted Sirkar Villages will also be benefitted by the extension of the Ramaswamy Channel. —

1. Chickabbegal
2. Maralahully
3. Hollambally
4. Ballageray
5. Sonvahully
6. Saragur

(23)
1086

2. The area likely to be irrigated by these Villages is 669 acres, as mentioned in the Dewan's Memorandum dated 6th June 1889, which was received (17) with the Chief Secretary's letter No 215, of same date. The Amildar of Mulwally Taluq, however, reports that the extent likely to be irrigated according to the recorded Beezavary measurement is about 490 acres and odd. This may however not be a correct figure as the area given in the Memorandum above referred to seems to have been

ascertained by the Executive Engineer on actual Measurements, it must necessarily be more reliable.

3. As regards the rate of Contribution, the ryots state that they cannot afford to pay more than 15 Rs an acre for dry and Banjar land, and 11¼ an acre for wet lands. They also state that they will pay the amount of Contribution in 3 yearly instalments, commencing from the period when water is first supplied to their lands for cultivation. Granting that the area likely to be irrigated to be 669 acres, as noted in the Dewan's Memorandum, the amount of contribution at the rates offered will come to about Rs 9928. These rates, as compared with those sanctioned for lands under Rampoor Channel, will be about ½ and ¾ respectively for dry and Banjar lands, and ¾ Rs for wet lands. I think that considering the remote situation of the villages and the very few advantages they enjoy to convey their produce to Markets, the rates offered, may be accepted. If

Government approve of the proposal
I shall issue orders to the Amildar
to take necessary Moochilkas from
the ryots Concerned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obed.t Serv.t

D Hanumaiah
Actg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

(26)

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 14 MAY 91 MYSORE

No. 743

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 13th May 1891.

(25)

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

SIR,

I have the honor to enclose a copy of my letter No: 744 dated 13th May 1891 to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore Public Works Department regarding the loan to the Sevasamundram Jagheerdar for the information of the Dewan, and to state that this office had no information of this loan till a credit appeared in the Mysore District Treasury accounts and papers were called for. I beg that proceedings of Government might be ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of this

office

office in similar cases in future.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]
Comptroller

26/a

25/a

No. 766

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 13th May 1891.
15a

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Public Works Department.

SIR,

With reference to the Dewans Memorandum dated 6th June 1889 passing orders on the extension of the Ramaswamy Channel and fixing the amount of Contribution payable by the Jagheerdar of Sevasamudram at Rs 35000/ to be recovered from the proceeds of the village of Purigal transferred to the management of Government, I have the honor to request that as the amount in question Rs 35000/ has, under the terms of the Dewan's Memorandum referred to above, to be recovered with interest at 5 per cent per annum from the 1st October 1889, you will be so good as to inform the Executive Public Works Accounts in Mysore that

that the amount will be credited in this office Exchange accounts for March 1891 as Contribution to the Public Works Department towards the cost of the Channel Excavation. The above procedure is adopted so that the amount due by the Jagheerdar may be shewn in the books of the Civil Department as a debit to be recovered.

I have &c

(Signed) A. Rungaswamiengar

Comptroller

"True Copy"

Ag Eagles

Chief Assistant

(General Serial No. 30).

189/ . (26)

From

To The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 10th August/91.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 5694 / 28

No.

Forwarding Copies of Certain correspondence relating to Contribution from Travancore & Cochin for Ramanad channel Extension

---

Papers for Travancore

For Madras

Bengal

Bombay

(27) (26)

No. 5694 Dated 10th August 18
328 12

Encl.

1. Dewar's Memorandum dated 6th June 1884.
2. Letter No. 215 (camp) dated 6th June 1884 to the Dy Comr Mysore District.

To
The Executive Engr
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 713 & 13 May last I am directed to forward herewith the Map & Papers noted in the margin - which will explain the nature of the investigations to the Sivasamudram Lagun & Ramaswami Channel Extension Mysore District.

2 It was anticipated on that occasion that the Deccan Record Office would be communicated with at the time - At the same time the Office had chosen the amount in question in their accounts as a debt of promised contribution recoverable, the credit on that account not having yet been taken from the Mysore Surplus

I am
Sir
Your obedt Servt

Returned to Exec Engr Mysore

27

1893.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

T

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore. *District.*

Dated 17-1-93

Despatched 19

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 12246

R *No.* 1448-93

According to the Government Proceedings No. 1382—90, dated 1st October 1888, on the subject of the levy of contributions for works costing over Rs. 25,000, the undersigned has the honor to request the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough to report the steps taken by him for the collection of contribution on the work noted below or explain the reason if no steps have been taken.

"By Order,"

Chief Secretary.

Name of Work.	Estimated Cost as per D. P. W. Budget.
Extending Ramaswami Channel -	Rs 29,142

M. G. C. Press.—1892—200

No. 28

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 12th July 1893.

From

A. Ramasami Mudaliar Esq BA BL
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

(28)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

(27) Adverting to your docket no 12246/1448-93 of the 17th January last, and reminder no 12956/1720 of the 18th February 1893, I have the honor to state that the Subdivision Officer, French Rocks, in his docket no 1051 dated 22d May last reports that the levying of contributions on lands irrigated by the extension of the Ramasami Channel is still held in abeyance pending orders as to the rate at which contribution has to be levied. The last reference on the subject would appear to have been made in this office letter no 61 of the 15th April 1891 and the order is of Government (26)

finally disposing of the question are solicited.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servants
Assistant
Deputy Commissioners
Mysore District

Comptd.
Clerk

(45)

No. 62.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 18th July 1893.

From

A Rangaswamy Iyengar Esquire

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

(29)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to your reminder no 400/67 of the 10th instant, in connection with the levy of contribution for extending Ramaswamy Channel, I have the honor to state that the reference quoted in the above has been replied to in this office letter No. 28 of the 12th inst. and to request that the orders solicited in the latter may be kindly communicated at an early date.

(28)

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

A Rangaswamy
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

Compd.
Clk. MM

(13)

(30)

To

Sir. K. Seshadri Ayer K. C. S. I.

the Devan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. N. 1561
DATE 19-7-93

Most Honored Sir,

We the inhabitants of the village of Purigal, Malavalli Taluq, Mysore District, most humbly beg to approach your honor to bring the following few lines for your honor's kind and ~~beloved~~ benign consideration, fully hoping that they will not fa to evoke your generous sympathy and that our grievances may have speedy redress.

We the ryots of this village, who are the tenants of the Jahagirdar of Belakwadi, having been for long at a great disadvantage by being obliged to pay the Jahagirdar the wet rate of assessment for our lands, though at half the wet rate, without any fecility of irrigation being afforded us inspite of repeated requests and applications made to him the Government undertook, upon complaints being preferred to the Government, to restore the ruined chann from the Cavery called Ramaswamy Channel and the cost of the work to the amount of Rs 35000 wa set upon the Jahagirdar asadebt due by him to th Government.

2. But upon the request of the Jahagirdar that the villagers should also bear a moiety of the cost, we were rendered liable for half the cos viz. Rs 17500, which on a rateable distribution among the acres comes to about Rs 9 per acre.

3 In view to attain the aforementioned objec we were called upon on [illegible] to sign a muchilca, purporting to be an agreement to [illegible]

the abovementioned maisty of the cost in the presence of Mr. Ramakal Rao the Sub Division officer and Mr. Ramaswamy the amildar who had been to this place for the Settlement of this question on

Since our lands were already classified as wet and assessed as such while we were able to raise only dry crops, which is against all justice, and since the disappearance of the original channel was due to no fault of ours but was entirely due to the neglect and want of solicitude for the welfare of the Villagers of the Jahagirdar it ought to have been the duty of the Jahagirdar to have provided us with all the facilities of irrigation, which, he, until the Government was graceous enough to come to our relief, refused to do.

5. We are already too poor to bear the burden of an already heavy taxation and the heviness of the former assessment and the utter inability of ryots to regularly pay the rent due to the Jahagirdar may be clearly understood by the fact that the realisation of the assessment in former years was only possible for the Jahagirdar by having recourse to law-courts and the execution sale of the defaulters' lands and the growing poverty of the Villagers, consequent upon the depreavation of their lands for rent.

6. The mutchalika mentioned in the previous portion of this memorial was not executed with the unanimous consent of the Villagers, nay with the full consent of the signatories even and even those that signed it did so under the belief that the land assessment will be the same as before that is, Rs 3000 on the whole at Rs 2 to 4 per acre.

7. While we were even prepared calmly to submit to our fate and pay down the contribution unjustifiable though it be, and inspite of the extreme hardship which it will work upon us we now found to our great surprise, that the Settlement Department which completed its

to labours only three months ago has enhanced
he assessment to more than thrice the original
mount, to Rs 10000 on the whole at Rs 5½ to 6 per acre
ius benefiting the Jahagirdar to a very great
xtent.

8. The channel is not a new one for the
cost of which it may be reasonable for us to con-
tribute like the ryot of the province, but an old
one allowed only the restoration of an old one
allowed to ruin and disappear mainly through
the fault of the Jahagirdar who with some
proper precautions taken to make some annua
repairs might have conveniently saved us
all this trouble and annoyance.

9. Should the restoration of the old chann
be in any way fraught with loss to the Jahagird
we may perhaps be called upon to afford som
help to him, but since on the contrary he has
been a gainer to an enormous degree by the re-
-assessment by the Settlement Department
we think we have a right for exemption from
any contribution

10 Further we have to undergo an expen
of Rs 100 extra per acre for bringing our lands to
a condition suitable for wet cultivation.

11 Thus, having regard to an already un-
-justifiable heavy of assessment to which we
were all along subject through the default of
our Jahagirdar, the recent enhancement
of land assessment, the additional expendi
that we have to incur for reforming the land
and our extreme poverty, the claim for contribut
is not only without any shadow of justificat
but also unbearably oppressive.

12. In conclusion we humbly pray that
your honor will be graceous enough to exempt
us from paying any contribution to meet the cost
of the channel and thus afford us relief
by lightening the heavy burden to which we have
been of late compelled to be subject to for which

which act of Magnanimity and Kindness, your honor will be remembered with devotion and everlasting gratitude by thousands of poor people and posterity.

We beg to remain
most honored Sir
Your most obedient and
loyal subjects, the ryots
of purigal

Dated. purigal
7th July 1893

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

7384-
22 OCT. 93
R1243

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore.

(31)

Dated 19th October 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Two

General No.

No. 489

Soliciting early disposal of correspondence on the subject of contribution for the Ramaswamy Channel extension.

Rev:
23/10

Recd 31/10

No. 489
Ex. 2.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 19th October 1893.

From

A. Rangasami Iyengar Esqr. B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

(31)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

SIR,

In continuation of this Office letter (No. 28) of the 12th July 1893, I have the honor to submit for information Copy of the Mysore Division Executive Engineer's letter No. 382 of the 20th ultimo with its enclosure in regard to the levy of Rupees 35,000 on account of the Sivasamudram Jahagirdar's share of contribution for the Ramaswami Channel extension. This sum, literally speaking should not be taken as Contribution, but as recovery from the Jaghirdar of the cost of the portion of

the Channel extended into the Jaghir villages.

2. The question of the levy of Contributions from ryots on account of Government lands would appear to be still undecided and I solicit early disposal of the correspondence (24) ending with this Office letter No. 61 of the 15th April 1891 on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant,

Mayuram
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Countd.
Tlu.

Executive Engineer's Office
Mysore Division
Dated Mysore 20th Sept 1893

№ 382
& Nos. 1

31/12

From
The Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

To.
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

In reply to your № 77 of 30th ultimo, enquiring when and by whom the Contribution for the Ramaswamy Channel extension was recovered, I have the honor to inform you that the Sirsamoodrum Jaghir share of Contribution viz Rs 35,000 has been recovered already as will be seen from the Comptrollers № 744. of 13th May 1891. to the address of the P.W. Secretary, Copy of which is Enclosed. As regards levy of Contribution from Government ryots holding 66 acres under this Extension, no orders were passed by Government at the time of Sanctioning the project, but I believe they are liable to pay it as per Government Proceedings № 1382, dated 1st October 1888, (22) on the Subject of Contributions for Irrigation Works Costing Rs 25,000 and upwards.

I have &c.
V. N. Kurne L.C.E.
Executive Engineer
Mysore Division
Mysore 20th Sept 1893

/"Copy"/

/Signed/

Name
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Comptd. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No. 744

Office of the Comptroller.
Mysore.
Bangalore 13th May 1891

31

From
A. Rungaswamy Iyengar Esqr B.A.B.L
Comptroller of Mysore

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore P. W. D.

Sir,

With reference to the Dewan's memorandum dated 6th June 1889, passing orders on the extension of the Rajamaswamy Channel, and fixing the amount of Contribution payable by the Jaghirdar of Sivasamoodra at Rupees 35,000 to be recovered from the proceeds of the Village of Nirgal transferred to the management of Government, I have the honor to request that as the amount in question Rs 35,000 has under the terms of the Dewan's Memorandum referred to above, to be recovered with interest at 5 per Cent per annum, from the 1st October 1889, You will be so good as to inform the Examiner P. W. Accounts in Mysore that the amount will be credited in this office exchange accounts for March 1891, as a Contribution to the P. W. Department, towards the Cost of the Channel Extension.

The above procedure is adopted so

That

that the amount duely the Jaghirdar may be shewn in the books of the Civil Department as a debt to be recovered.

Thanks &c.

/ Signed / A. Rungaswamy Iyengar
Comptroller.

/ "Copy" /

/ Signed / V. H. Kurve
Executive Engineer
Mysore Dr.

/ "Copy" /

Asstt. to
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd.
ulu

30-11-1894

ST. JAMES'S GATE
LETTER DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 16436
DATE 10-12-94

(32)



(34)

Proceedings of the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Read —

(1) Correspondence ending with letter No. 489 dated 19th October 1893 from the Dy. Commr. Mysore District regarding contribution leviable towards the cost of ~~Extension~~ restoration of Ramaswami Channel, and other matters connected with the Government management of the villages of Purigal & Kaglipura belonging to the Jaghirdar of Sivansamudram.

(2) Petition No. 1643 dated 30th November 1894 presented by Rangappa and other ryots of Purigal in the Malvalli Taluk, complaining of the demand for contribution at Rs. 15 per annum

Order thereon No. 9477-9 Fin I. dated 7 Dec. 1894.
10

Orders of the Government are solicited as regards :—

1) Lands held as Inams by certain persons in the Tirupal & Kaglipura villages, without Sannads

2) Revision of the Jasdik Patta of the temples at Sivansamudram

3) amount of contribution to be levied towards the cost of the ~~extension~~ restoration of the Ramaswamy Channel

2 Inams. Minor Inams granted by the Jaghirdar should be treated as an improper and cancellable alienation of temple lands. The whole question turns upon the terms of the Jaghire grant of 24th December 1829. Under these terms the Jaghirdar is required to apply the whole of the proceeds of the Tirupal and Kaglipur villages exclusively for temple purposes and his grant of inams in contravention of the object of the grant is illegal and should be cancelled,

full assessment being imposed on the lands and levied from the grantees. The Jaghirdar can of course be asked to compensate the grantees by direct payments from any source other than the income of the villages in question.

3. The Inams enumerated in the list submitted with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No 1426 dated 26th February 1891 were not all granted by the Jaghirdar after the 24th December 1829. Some of them are Service & other inams excluded from the assets of the villages at the time of the Jaghire grant and should not be interfered with. The Inams granted by the Jaghirdar for purposes unconnected with the temple should alone be presumed and charged with full assessment as directed above.

4 Temple Jasdik — The proceeds of the two villages of Kurigal and Kaglipur (as now developed) will be insufficient for both the temple expenses according to the existing Jasdik Patti, and ~~xxx~~ payments to Government under the Scheme of liquidation of the Govt loan ~~xxxx~~ sanctioned in the Dewan's Memo dated 6th June 1889. The Jasdik Patti is reported to be incapable of even a temporary reduction and the Government accordingly decide that it should be maintained on the existing basis. The liquidation of the Government debt will thus be postponed to the requirements of the temple

5— The revenues of the two villages in question should be

be applied as follows:—

1st Towards the full payment of the expenses of the management of the villages and maintenance of Irrigation channels and other works;

2nd towards the temple expenses according to existing Sasa

3rd towards the liquidation of the Government debt.

Any portion of the annual temple expenditure not covered by the net Revenues of Purigal and Kaglipur should be annually recovered from the Jaghirdar in accordance with his promise to that effect.

6. The interest on the Government debt should be annually calculated and when not realized from the net revenues of

6

the villages should be added to the principal. The improvement in the Revenue of the villages due to the restoration of the river channel, the introduction of the Revenue Survey and Settlement, and Government management will, it is hoped, be such as to permit of the extinction of the Government debt in due course of time. The Jaghiredar will of course continue to be at full liberty to pay this debt at any time and resume the management of the villages, but whether these be under the management of the Government or of the Jaghiredar, their entire revenue should be devoted exclusively for purposes connected with the

be repaired and they cannot be asked to bear any part of the cost of repair which should fall entirely on the Jaghiredar. For dry land if any newly converted into wet contribution can of course be levied.

9 The Deputy Commissioner should assess the contribution levy on the foregoing principle. The Government will not of course interfere when there is a specific contract between the Jaghiredar and an individual ryot for payment of contribution. The Deputy Commissioner will report the circumstances of the case with details of area, rates fixed, &c. as regards both Government and Jaghire lands.

10 If the Deputy Commissioner considers there exist any other points requiring

Sivansamudram temples, whose affairs should always be subject to the supervision of the Mazarai Department

7 The Government debt on account of restoration of the river channel has come into existence because the Jaghiredar failed in years gone by to discharge his duty to the Temple villages, and he cannot therefore as a matter of right claim that this debt should be discharged from the revenues of these villages. It this question need not be considered if the anticipated improved revenue is found capable of liquidating the debt in a reasonable number of years.

8 Contribution — The petition presented by the ryots of

But
11/12

Purigal will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner with the following observations.

The justice of levying a contribution for Government or Jaghire lands depends upon the supply of new or additional irrigation given. Where no such supply is given, contribution should not be demanded. If Petitioners' lands were previously assessed as wet under the channel, the fact that the Jaghiredar allowed the channel to go to ruin cannot give him a right to claim from the ryots any portion of the cost of its restoration. Under the nature of the case it is not pretended that more supply than the old channel gave is intended. Petitioners apparently held on to their wet lands in the hope that the channel would some day be

elucidation or further orders he is requested to submit the questions in person to the Dewan on his return from Calcutta

Chief Secretary

5/12/94

To the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
& Comptroller in Mysore.
Mungaree Superintendent

95

Guardian of Jeypatnam.

Varla J. Ananda Raya
Proodhar - Jahagirdar
of Scorina Samudram

ne

- 6 - 98

- 6 - 95

TRACT.

ame to Govt. Pro. No. —
y 1894 states that under
ained the Govt has no rea-
the income derived from the
pal and Kaglipura chow
devoted to Temples - that
under Govt. Pro. No. 215 96-6-89.
some villages cannot object
t of certain burdens for the
improvement and Eschu
mmi kala - and the
the loads advanced
heretofore be adjusted
pray that the Com
ded by taking the R
olution into Consid

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

No. 3463

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 2

Date of Receipt. 2

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

Rev. Records E

Please put up the Rec. referred to

26/6

The whole correspondence re the Sivasamudram Ramaswami Channel & ...ager was submitted to Chief Secy on 13/8/91. Please enquire in the Rev. Branch is there any file on this subject.

26/6/95

Rev. Branch

Sd/3. 26/6.

To Mr. Krishnaswamier ...

Re ... No 174 of 93 in re ... tribution payable by the ... Jaghir towards ... the Excavation of the ... with ... of the Rev. Branch ... that it is ... Branch ... Consultation ... it in question.

The file ... to the Rev. Br. also ... in the case ... their Brs.

Rt. 174 of 93 in re contribution payable by the Jaghirdar of Sivasamudram towards the Cost of the ~~Dam~~ extension of the Ramaswami Channel is pending ~~with the Collector~~ in the Revenue ~~Secretariat~~.

Sd/ 17/9

Sub to the Rev. Secretary 21/9

With refe... of 16th Decemb... terms of the ... to divert that ... villages of Pa... the Exclusive ... the supply of ... to the paym... restoration, ... of Ramaswa... connected with ... he should as ... 6 months ... enquire pers... made in the

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 3463 M
DATE 22-6-95

3463 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

39

Ref 4

ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ವಿವರ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಅವರಿಂದ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಇಸವಿ ರಂದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ

ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು

1894 ಇಸವಿಯ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ

I ಕಲಂ 1829 ಇಸವಿಯ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ 24 ಕಲಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

II

III

4

(13)

ਸਾਲ ਨੰ: 1889 ਮਿਸਲ ਨੰ: 215 ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰ: 215 ਮਿਤੀ 21 ਅਗਸਤ 1889 ਈ: ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰ: 215 ਮਿਤੀ 21 ਅਗਸਤ 1889 ਈ: ਸਾਲ ਨੰ: 1889 ਮਿਸਲ ਨੰ: 215

V

Refer to the Revenue Branch should be obtained after upon enquiry—

Rev. Branch

Please put up reference early.

1-11-95

1895

No. 644

Name of Petitioner, Ramappa and Lakshmana

Village, Puriyal

Taluk, Malvalli

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 1-11-95

Date of Receipt, 1-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

State that His late Highness Krishnaraja Wadiar granted the villages of Puriyal and Kasalipura as Inam to the Jagirdar of Savandurga for the maintenance of Sri Ramanathaswami and other temples and agrahar — that the Jagirdar brought the below sheets and conferred Inams on them — that they have been enjoying the same for the last 60 years — that they have been served with notice to the effect that Sist have lapsed forever. that the said village belongs to the temple and that the Inam amount should be borne by the Jagirdar [illegible] — that on representing to the Jagirdar they were informed that

Subd to the Rev. Secy

Ref. says that the sale is fixed for the 9th Instant.

He also says that the present Jahgir Nanjaraj's mother has subd a petition on the subject & that it is before the Durbar Secretary.—

Subd to Rev. Secy

The file has already been subd in connection with the same matter — 4/11/95

Vide order on the 1st/68

Received 4-11-95

Issued orders on the petition vide Durbar Secretary's Memo dated 10-11-1895

— [illegible] to advance money
granted by his ancestors and that Govt had been
addressed in the matter — and that their state-
-ments would be borne out if the Settlement
and other records in the office were gone through —
Pray that they may be not be deprived
of the Manyam lands which have
been in their Enjoyment for a long time

Petrs. further state that their Manyam
lands have been notified by the Zillah
authorities to be sold on 9th November 95
for Kandayam due in them — that on
representing their grievances to the
Zillah and Sub-Division authorities
they were told that Govt. orders should
be received in the matter —
Pray that orders may be issued
to stay the sale till their petition
is disposed of —

1/11.
BK



ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಇನಕಾಂಕ್ಷೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಯಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥವರಿಗೆ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಅಂಚೆಯ
ಮೂಲಕ ಪತ್ರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ಹುದ್ದೆ

16447-95

Petn no 16448 1-11-95 was admitted by the Asst. Commissioner on 4-11-95 i.e. yesterday

DK
5/N

Sub-for orders-

DK 5/11

Called for Commissioner Is not clearly known what the Petitioners grievance is - He says however that the sale has been fixed for the 9th & he requests that it may be ordered to be stayed.

Received 5.11.95.

5/11/95

The sale cannot be stayed as the Petrs. contend, in-spite of payment, carrying out an order of Govt. If the Petrs is not reconciled with that order & has any further representation to make he is advised to pay up the money under protest & stop the sale & with such sale & with Govt.

DK 8/11/95

1895 (31)

No. 1687

Name of Petitioner, Rungappa and Seetharamaiya

Village, Purigali

Taluk, Malvalli

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 5-11-95

Date of Receipt, 5-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Praying that the Sale of the Purigali Inam village given to the Petrs by the Jahagirdar of Sivasamudram, for arrears of Sadr Jama, which is fixed to the 9th instant, may be held in abeyance until the disposal of their appeal - by Telegraph

Pray that early orders may be issued to the Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks to postpone the sale.

Issue Cmot No 1687
9-11-95

B.Rm
5/11.

No. Pol ✓ 980 Dt 15-11-95

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Commr of Mysore for information

By Order

Asst Secretary

11/11

3 drafts (41)

Urgent-

1895

To

The Comptroller.

Dated 29th November 1895
Acknd - 4/12/95
No 5026 ✓
P.1056

Govt Progs No 9477-9
R.F 174-93
dated 7/10 December 1894
in re Contributions to be levied from the ryots of Sivana Samudra towards the restoration of the Ramaswami channel in the Mysore District.

With reference to the above has the honor to request that he will be so good as to ~~repeat~~ at a very early date how the account of the Govt debt therein mentioned stands at present.-

By order

(Draft) [illegible] 29/11
for Rev. Secretary

No file is need
[illegible] 28.11.95

No 57
Rec: Sep:

Inam Supdt's Office
Bangalore dy 4th Decr 1895

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government
of Mysore

Sir

In reply to your letter No 5025
C. 1055
dated 29th ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith, the Inam Settlement Registers noted in the margin, Connected with Sivanasamudra Jahagir of Malavalli Taluk, and to State that no deeds, alluded to in the letter under reply, are forthcoming in this Office record.

		Sheet no
1.	Purigala S. m.	184
2.	Kaggalipura do	198
3	Belakaveade do	185
4	Dasanadoddi do	194
5.	Hosahalli do	196
6.	Kaveligaanahalli do	192
7.	Hanchipura do	193
8.	Malligetthanahalli do	199

2. The Enclosed Registers may kindly be returned when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

Supdt of Inam Settls

(43)

No. 3142

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE, GOVT.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE DEC. 95 MYSORE

Bangalore, 5-th December 1895-

E. R. SUBROYER, Esq.,
Comptroller to Mysore Govt.

To

Revenue Government.
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

SIR,

In reply to your docket No. 5026/1056 of the 29th November 1895 received on the 3rd instant, enquiring how the loan of Rs 35000/ sanctioned in Dewans Memo of 6th June 1889 forwarded with Chief Secretary's letter No. 5694/328 dated 10th August 1891 to the Jaghirdar Srirangam for the extension of the Ramaswami channel stands at present, I have the honor to state, that the balance

234

(42) 1895

4352
Pet 378

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To Revenue
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Land Revenue Statistics

Dated 4th
Despatched 4th } December
Received

Enclosure No. Sep: packet

No. 57 of 1895-96

Forwards the Main Sept. Regrs connected with Sivasamudram Jahagir of Malavalli Taluk

Rev
Retn
32
4/12/95

Gen. 30.]

43

1895

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4427
No. 241
7 - DEC. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Revenue
Govt. *~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.*

Dated 5th

Despatched 7 December.

Received

~~*I. F.*~~ *No.* 3142

SUBJECT MATTER.

States that the balance due on 30th June 1895 was Rs 14,731-12- out of the balance of Rs 35000/ sanctioned in Dewan's Memo of 16th June 1894

Rev. Jun (
Ref Dear
11
7/12/95

Petitioner.
Please put up the refo
R
10/12

Refers. put up
RK
10/12

due on 30th June 1895 was
Rupees 14.731-12-4

The balance on 30th Jan
1894 was Rs 25805-13-3
during the year 1894-95
Rupees 12364-6-4 was
received of which Rs 11074-
were credited to Principa
and Rs 1290-4-7 to Interes

I have the honor to
Sir
Your most obedient Ser
E Wodehouse
Comptroller
A/Sd

1687, 1344

Reference

Memo 2098 2/15/11/95

9/12

10/12

Copies sent to

Until the files have been
12/2 taken back by the Revenue
See if they can be spared. BL 9/12

Files Branch.
97947-93.
17469 3/Instructs
12
4/1/96
The file is obtained & submitted
2nd
7/1

189 S — (4 1)

No. 2013

Name of Petitioner, Seetha Ramaiya and Rungappa

Village, Purigala

Taluk, Nuggalli

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 6-12-95

Date of Receipt, 7-12-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, nil

ABSTRACT.

State that in response to
his office order they have
paid up the cundayam
levied upon their Inam lan
given to them by the Jahgird
of Siva Samudrum and that
upon their application to
the Inam office for copies
of the Inam Sannads they
were informed that the Sanna
had been sent to Govt.

Pray for early disposal of
recognition
the matter and the restoration
of their Inam lands.

BL 9/12

1895

No. 2034.

Name of Petitioner, Rungappa and Seetha Ramaiya

Village, Purigali

Taluk, Malvalli

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 6-12-95

Date of Receipt, 9-12-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 2

ABSTRACT.

This office end^t No 16879 11-11

State the Purigali and Kagga Inam villages were given to Sir^r Ramaswamy Mudaliar as Jahagirdar of Sivasamudram by H. H. the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar as endowments towards the Sri Runganathaswamy temple and chuttram; that subsequently the Jahagirdar alienated inams in these villages to the Petitioners by means of proper sanands; that since these manyams are now stopped the usual worship in the temples which they

15/12

[illegible]

19/12

To

The Revenue Branch.

20/12

[illegible]

The petition is apparently against the deprivation of their inams by the Jahgirdar of Sivasamudra. I do not know if this matter is not one rather for the Civil Courts than for determination in the Rev- deptt.

Submitted for orders-

H Cobb
9/1/96

[illegible] has also been
stopped and that consequent
Petrs' livelihood is lost.

Pray that these Inams
may be continued to Such

Ellis.

12-



MYSORE DESIGN'S OFFICE
PETITION
ISSUED
2034
DATE 9-12-98



[illegible]

[illegible]

1895 [illegible]

By order

Chas. Brown
Jr. Revenue Secretary

980 Pet. 2013

980

Wak 11/3

W.S. 7-1-96

1/3

Please bring up after the Asst Secretary's return from leave.

A.S.
21/5/96

1896

No. 3131

Name of Petitioner, Ramappa & Sidaram

Village, Muriyali

Taluk, Mudalgi

District, Belgaum.

Date of Arzi, 5-3-96

Date of Receipt, 6-3-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

Ramu begs to obtain petitions of 1-11-95 and 6-12-95 complaining that the Inamdar has obtained by them from the Jagirdar of Surapur had been rejected

---

Pray that early orders may be issued for the refund of the Amount, deposit received from them.

AK

W.S. 7/3

Received
5-3-96

3131

MYSORE DIWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REGD. NO. 3131 OF
DATED 6-3-96

To
The Amaldar Kasaba
Sir,

I am in possession of land measuring 1 acre in Sy. No. 5 of Kasaba village. I have been cultivating the land for the last 10 years. I have been paying the land revenue regularly. I have also been paying the water cess regularly. I have been using the water from the tank for irrigation purposes. I have been using the water from the tank for irrigation purposes. I have been using the water from the tank for irrigation purposes

(46)

No. 1150
Ex. 1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
Mysore ~~BANGALORE~~ DISTRICT.

Mysore The March 14th 1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore ~~Bangalore~~ District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Jeneral and Revenue Department.

SIR

With reference to your docket No. 502/1054 dated the 29th November 1895, calling for a r[eport] of the result of the revenue Survey and Settlement of the two Villages of Poorig[alli] and Kuggalipura belonging to the Sivasa[mu]drum jaghir, and your reminder No. 1278 dated the 17th January 1896, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of letter No. 746, of the 25th January 1896, received from the Sub division officer of French rocks from which it will be seen that the Survey and Settlement of the Villages has resulted in a net increase to their revenue of Rs 5,615. That letter also shews how the revenue of the Villages is being utilized.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner.
of the Mysore district.

Compd.
Tread.

Please bring up after the Asst. Secretary's return from leave.

A.
21/3/96



From
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore district

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev. & Ecd. department

Dated 14th March 1896.
Despd
Recd

Enclosure No. one

No. 1150.

Forwards Copy of Sub Dr.
offers report on the result
of the revenue survey &
settlement of the two
villages of Poorigal and
Kuregalipura

Recd.
18/3/96

Petition Bs
BD
18/3/96
Submitted
W.
20/3
W. A.

46a

No. 746.

Sub-Division Office, French Rocks,
Dated Krishnarajpet 25th January
1896.

From

The Sub-Division Officer
French Rocks.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 245 dat 14th December 1895, I have the honor to submi the following report on the survamanya villa of Purigal and Kaglipura of the Sivanasam :dram Jaghir..

2. The villages in question came under Gov :ment management in the year 1889-90.

3. The survey and settlement were introduc in the year 1893-94.

4. The results of the Jemabundi compared with those of the year previous to the introduct of the survey and settlement operations are exhibited in the subjoined statement.

Village.	Particulars of revenue.	Jamabundi previous to survey settlement i.e. in 1892-93.			Jamabundi after the survey settlement made in 1893-94.			Increase.			Decrease.			Net increase.		
Kunigala.	Land revenue	3,640	7	10	8,696	13	8	5,056	5	10	"	"	"			
	Mohaturpa	80	"	"	82	8	"	2	8	"	"	"	"			
	Local cess	239	3	"	590	4	"	351	1	"	"	"	"			
	Miscellaneous	222	15	8	257	4	"	34	4	4	"	"	"			
	Total	4,182	10	6	9,626	13	8	5,444	3	2	"	"	"	5,444	3	2
Rajapura.	Land revenue	420	13	6	575	4	"	154	6	6	"	"	"			
	Mohaturpa	21	8	"	20	"	"	"	"	"	1	8	"			
	Local cess	27	15	1	37	7	3	9	8	2	"	"	"			
	Miscellaneous	8	12	11	17	7	1	8	10	2	"	"	"			
	Total	479	1	6	650	2	4	172	8	10	1	8	"	171	"	10
	Grand total	4,661	12	"	10,277	"	"	5,616	12	"	1	8	"	5,615	4	"

5. The revenue viz: Rs. 38,327-2-1 derived from the two villages during the 6 years they have been under Government management, including the balance of previous years, has been thus expended :-

	Rs. A. P
1. Faditharu and establishment charges of the Sri Rungaviathaswami temple and chatram.	3538-13-10
2. Remuneration to village servants	503-6-0
3. Recoupment of Government loan.	2,900-0-0
4. Interest on ——— do. ———	8,640-15-0
5. Local cess &c. due to Government	3607.15.10
6. Miscellaneous such as pay of Mutsuddi, peons and contingent charges	135.15.5
	38,327.2.1

6. The Malavalli Amildar manages the affairs of the villages.

I have the honor to be &c.
D. P. Punchanatha Row
Sub-Division Officer
of French Rocks

Copy.

Compd. [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore Dt

Dy 29-3-96
30.

No 8849
Pet 1779

This office No 9477-9
R.Z.174-93
7th Dec 1894 (para 9)
requesting the Dy Commr to
assess the levy of contribution
towards the cost of the restoration
of the Ramaswamy Channel
on the principles laid down
therein and to submit a
report of the circumstances
of the case with details of
area, rates fixed, &c, as
regards both Govt and
Jahagir lands.

Has the honor to invite
attention to the above

and to request very
early submission of
the report therein called
for

B.O.

7/31/16

Secretary

Collector's Office,
Camp Sivaganga Coimbatore,
7 9 1896

Between 3 & 5 pm tomorrow 4/9/96 cl

Dear Sir

Can you give me a few minutes on Wednesday or Thursday next to talk over the affairs of the Sivaganga Zaghir? I shall be at the Cubbon Hotel & can see you at your office at any time almost that may be convenient to you.

2nd Draft as per orders

50

Order. No 2793
R.T. 174-93.
Dated 12 Sept 1896.

It having been brought to the notice of Govt by Mr Herbert Bradley, Collector of Coimbatore, that the mismanagement of the Sivaneasamudram Jaghire, there the minor Jaghiredar mother's brother as agent still continues and that the Bridge broke down badly this year, the Dy Commr, Mysore District is requested to enquire into the condition of the Bridge for the maintenance of which Some of the villages have been granted, and in Communication with the Executive Engineer, report what is being done to repair it

and whether the Jaghiredo
is doing Sufficient
in the matter and what
explanation he has to offer
as regards his past neglect
to repair the Bridge.

D'Cunha's order) 12/9/96.

for Secretary

WDB
To 12/9/96 12/9/96

The Dy Commr.
Mysore District.

2 Drafts as per order

(51)

To.

The Secretary to Govt
Muzoroi Deptt.

Dated 17-9-96
No 2794
R.T. 174-93 Sri/

Has the honor to for-
-ward herewith for in-
formation and needful
action, an extract from
the Dewan's note of the
10th September 1896 on
certain points connected
with the ~~management~~
~~of the~~ Sivaganamudram
Jahagir

By Order

Dewan's order

12/9

Jr Secretary

12/9/96

12/9/96

Mr. Herbert Bradley, Collector of Coimbatore, passing thro Bangalore, after his visit to Sivaganamudram, saw me today.

He tells me that the mismanagement of the Jaghire, through the mother brother as agent, still continues, that the temples are in disrepair and Service therein is not properly performed and that the Bridge broke down badly this year &c.

× × ×

Our villages are granted Some for temple Service & Some for maintenance of Bridge. Muzoroi Secy. Shd. enquire and do needful regarding temple repairs and Service.

× × ×

10-9-96 (Initialled) K. S.

Rev 15 8 96

Rev 15/8/96

Rev 15/8

Rev 15/8

Rev 15/8

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 2111
1896 - 97 R 1490
18 SEP. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

(53)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 14th
Despatched } September
Received } 1896

Enclosures No. —

No. 557

Reporting that the damage done to the bridge at Swasamudram has not been made good as yet —

Submitted for orders —

VR
24/9/96

Has reminded twice. No useful information —

Secretary informed that the Public report promised by him is awaited & that to enable Govt. to proceed the enquiry is indispensable

25-9-96

Draft Subd
26/9/96
VR

AW 18/9/96

G.C. Branch.
Please put up the Dy Commr's letter No 297 dt 11.8.96 quoted within
The Asstt Secy in D.H.O. for draft
This was subd to Dewan Bahadur on 15-8-96 RA. 23/9/96

No. 557
Enclosures No. —

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 11th September 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to Govt. Order No. 2793 / R.T. 174.93 of the 12th September 1896, stating that it has been brought to the notice of Government that the bridge at Sivasamudram sustained damage and that the Inamdar of Sivasamudram, who has been given some inam villages for the up-keep of the bridge, has neglected it, and calling on me to report on the matter, I beg to state that the bridge sustained some damage when the Cavery river was in very high floods in July 1896. That fact was reported to Government in my letter No. 297 of the 11th August 1896, with the intimation that the Jahagheerdar had been called on to repair the damage. The Sub Division Officer of Punch Rocks

has

has not as yet reported if the damage was made good. An urgent reminder has been sent to him. As soon as the work is done I will submit a further report.

I have the honor to be.

Sir.

Your most Obed.t Servant

A. Kendall Savage Jr

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District

Comp.d.

W.H.

Draft for approval

54

To.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Dated 25-9-96
No 3419
Ref. 174-93.

Govt Prov: No
9477-9 & 7 Dec 1894 directing
R.7.174-93
the levy of contribution towards
the Cost of the restoration of the
Ramaswamy Channel on the
principles therein laid down,
and calling for a report as
to the area of both Govt and
Sivasamudram Jahagir lands,
the rates fixed &c, and Sub-
sequent reminder marginally
noted.

No 8849 & 29.3.96
Ref: 1779

Has the honor to draw attention
to the above and to request that
the report therein called for
may be submitted without
further delay.

By Order
24/9/96
Secretary

N
24/9/96

2 Drafts as per order

To. (55)

The Supdt of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Dated 26-9-96.

No. 345-9 / R7-174-93-94 Enc: Eight.

His Docket No. 23 of 4th August 1896.

As requested in the above, has the honor to return the Inam Registers of Purigal and 9 other villages belonging to the Sivasamudram Jahagir in the Malvalli Taluk.

By Order

26/9

Secretary

26/9/96 26/9/96

2 Drafts as per orders

56

To.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Dated 26-9-96.

No 345-8
R.T.174-93-94

Dy Commr's letter No
557 of 14th Sept 1896, re-
-porting with reference to
this office No 2793 of 8/12-9-9
R.T.174-93
that the Bridge at Siva-
-samudram was damaged
owing to high floods in the
Cauvery river in July/96,
that the Jahagirdar has been
asked to repair it, and the
a further report will be
submitted as to whether
the repairs has been exe
-cuted or not, on receipt of
a reply from the French
Rocks Subdr officer

Has the honor to ~~state that~~ ~~inform~~
request

that the ~~the Dy Commr's~~ further
report promised in the
above ~~is awaited and its~~
may
~~Submission should~~ be ~~re~~
~~quoted~~. submitted
at an early date

By Order

24/9/96

for Secretary

H.D.
26/9

H
26/9/96

REVENUE
Gl. 2414
Gen. 31 R1720
SEP 96 1896
DEWAN'S OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE
3048
23 SEP 1896
Encls: 841
DEWAN OF MYSORE

(58)
(57)

Submitted for information
(Please see serial no: 57 of this file put up)
21/10/96

Submitted to Secty, GM 21/10/96
Note contained)

From The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 25th September 1896.

Despatched

Received

General No. 627
No.

Enclosure No. one

Stating that the bridges at Sivasamudram have been temporarily put in order and the traffic is not impeded.

G. 28/9/96

Ser Br

Rep 1/10/96

No. 627
Enclosures No. one

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 25th September 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

(53)

In continuation of my letter No. 557 dated the 15th September 1896, regarding the damage done to the bridge at Sivasamudram by the high flood in the Cavéri river, I beg to submit herewith for the information of Government copy of docket No. 373 of the 24th September 1896 from the Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks. It will be seen from it that the bridges have been temporarily put in order and that traffic is not impeded. The Jahgirdar has already collected materials for repairing them after the river goes down.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant,

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compd.

272

1896.

From The Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks

To The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore Dt

Dated camp Mysore 24th September 1896.

No. 373.

His docket No. 107 of 15th instant anent the damages done to the Sivasamundram bridges.

With reference to the above, has the honor to submit herewith in original the Canarese report No. 27 of 22nd September 1896 received from the Manager of the Sivasamundram Jahgir on the subject for information.

2. It will be observed from the report above referred to, that at the end of the bridge "Ramga sethuvé" a stone post has fallen down and that three stone pillars of the "Ramasethuvé" bridge have inclined a little and their "sodgis" washed awa

3. Necessary materials including the raw granite stones have been already collected for repairing the two bridges as soon as the river goes down. For the present wooden boards &c. are put and the manager repor that traffic passes over the bridges without

any danger and that the Deputy Collector of Kollégal and the Engineer of that place have inspected the spot and satisfied themselves of the sufficiency of the temporary repairs made.

(Sd.) P. Punchanatha Rao
Sub-Division Officer
of French Rocks.

Copy.

(Sd.) [illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compd:
[illegible]

Temple because he has stated that
in his address of Kandelle
that while the Alterations under Land
Management there is no reason
to fear that Jewels have been made
away with out of the proceeds of the sales &
of the Temple, that no devotee leaves
the Temple without making a gift of any
Jewels to the Temple, that if any
Jewels had an Historical association
were given to have
they cannot be sold & the Temple,
that petitioner is anxious to see
any list of such Jewels that are
referred to in the Lord proceedings,
that petitioner is not aware whether
whether the list referred to in the
Lord proceedings was prepared,
that the Jewels belong to the Temple itself
are in deposit in the Temple itself
that the late Ramaswamy Mudali
was managing the villages independently
that after death the villages were
handed over to the various Jagirdars
& Land Tax Commissioner for various
Local & Charitable purposes, that under
these circumstances there does not
appear to be sufficient grounds
for Lord to believe the enumerated
report of Land Reforms Enquiry
in matters which were being conducted
thereto independently - Under

1896 141

No. 1698 (58)

Name of Petitioner The Guardian
& Amandarar of Kandelle
Village Sivaganga District

Value

Subject Enquire

Date of Decree

Date of Receipt 23-11-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures Nine

Abstract

Rep Walter Secretary
of Govt Proceedings No. C 10-12
of 17th October 1896 - States that
Justice the Summons of 24th December 1829
clearly mentions that the villages of
Poongal Thuppatchi were en
joined to J. Ramaswamy
Pathar & Servants & Sivaganga
& Land & Works of Charity
& for carrying out Works of Charity
in connection with the Temple, Agree
ing that the Hill Enclosures by

melchong hidden treasures were
their That he has omitted to inspect
of the villages by gift & sale mortgage
per that the proceeds of the villages
have found insufficient the Sale
Jagirdar Remarriages heard
used to defray the cost of keeping
Service of Durbar and Memorandum
by private funds, that since
his death in 1885 his income
Jagir has been during the same, that
villages were
the Talla of Porbandar has been taken
on June 1889
under Government management,
for liquidation of the debt of Rs
35,000 incurred in connection
with the improvement of the Channel
a condition that should be realised
While Jagirs are cleared That the
balance of debt hereby small the
Jagirdar has applied for the
villages
restoration of the Channel, that
it is advised that the temple
of Porbandar should be stopped until
the debt of the Jagirdar
has been liquidated leaving it with his
own funds - That none of the temple
are in a deteriorated condition
That even small repairs are being

carried the Jagirdar Porbandar, that
the Proceedings states that the Chamber
are not being properly attended -
that petitioner indicates that the
must have regard to the false
representations of Keshavlal who was
against the Jagirdar
that all the villages are under Court
management for liquidation of
the debt contracted in connection
with the last work by the Channel
by the last Jagirdar Other Chamber
which have been started & work
are being paid out of private
funds there can be no room for
the part of the Jagirdar
of his people for unnecessarily
that the last Proceedings further state
that Agent Ray Bradelen has
dispersed of Rs with Rs 6 thousand
rupees without a sale, that the Agent
does not appear to have any
knowledge of the Land work after the existent
Rules of the present Memorandum
that there is no reason to believe
the statement of Agent Ray Bradelen
that he cannot pay which of his
property in the Jagir hereby hence
belongs to the Jagirdar during the